

Opinion Authors by Sitting

OCT	<i>Author</i>	Count
Altria	JPS	JGR 2
Locke	SGB	JPS 2
Vaden	RBG	AS 1
Herring	JGR	AMK 1
Gant	JPS	DHS 2
Kennedy	DHS	CT 1
Winter	JGR	RBG 2
Crawford	DHS	SGB 1
Summers	AS	SAA 1
Bartlett	AMK	
Pearson	SAA	
Oregon	RBG	
Waddington	CT	
Hedgpeth	PC	

NOV	<i>Author</i>	Count
Wyeth	JPS	JGR 1
Ysursa	JGR	JPS 1
Carcieri	CT	AS 1
FCC	AS	AMK 1
Eurodif	DHS	DHS 1
Jimenez	CT	CT 2
Negusie	AMK	RBG 1
Van de Kamp	SGB	SGB 2
Chambers	SGB	SAA 1
Hayes	RBG	
Melendez		
Pleasant Grove	SAA	
Bell	dismiss	

DEC	<i>Author</i>	Count
Kansas	SAA	JGR 1
14 Penn	CT	JPS 1
Entergy	AS	AS 1
Fitzgerald	SAA	AMK 0
Philip Morris	dismiss	DHS 0
Haywood		CT 1
Shinseki	SGB	RBG 1
Pacific Bell	JGR	SGB 1
Johnson	RBG	SAA 2
Cone	JPS	
Ashcroft		
AT&T		

JAN	<i>Author</i>	Count
Coeur Alaska		JGR 1
Iran	SGB	JPS 1
Harbison	JPS	AS 2
Montejo		AMK 0
Vermont	RBG	DHS 1
Knowles	CT	CT 1
Puckett	AS	RBG 1
Boyle		SGB 1
Corley	DHS	SAA 0
Ventris	AS	
Nken	JGR	

JGR	6
JPS	5
AS	6
AMK	2
DHS	4
CT	5
RBG	6
SGB	5
SAA	5
Total	44

FEB	<i>Author</i>	Count
Navajo Nation	AS	JGR 1
Rivera	RBG	JPS 0
Burlington No.		AS 1
Carlsbad		AMK 0
Hawaii	SAA	DHS 0
Flores-Figueroa		CT 0
Osborne		RBG 1
Atlantic Sounding		SGB 0
Caperton		SAA 1
Arthur Andersen		
Abuelhawa		
Dean	JGR	

MARCH	<i>Author</i>	Count
Yeager		JGR 0
Citizens		JPS 0
Denedo		AS 0
Travelers		AMK 0
Gross		DHS 0
Polar Tankers		CT 0
		RBG 0
		SGB 0
		SAA 0

APR	<i>Author</i>	Count
Iraq		JGR 0
Flores		JPS 0
Safford		AS 0
Eisenstein		AMK 0
Ricci		DHS 0
Nijhawan		CT 0
Bobby		RBG 0
Forest Grove		SGB 0
Cuomo		SAA 0
NAMUDNO		

Circuit Scorecard—OT08

Court	Decided	Outstanding	# Aff'd	% Aff'd	# Rev'd	% Rev'd	# Aff'd in Part	% Aff'd in Part	% SCOTUS Cases
<i>CA 1</i>	4	0	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	5.0%
<i>CA2</i>	3	6	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	11.3%
<i>CA3</i>	1	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	2.5%
<i>CA4*</i>	5	1	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	7.5%
<i>CA5</i>	4	1	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	6.3%
<i>CA6</i>	3	2	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	6.3%
<i>CA7</i>	1	0	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%
<i>CA8</i>	2	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	5.0%
<i>CA9</i>	9	7	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	20.0%
<i>CA10</i>	2	0	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2.5%
<i>CA11</i>	2	1	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	3.8%
<i>CADC</i>	0	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%
<i>CAFC</i>	3	1	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	5.0%
<i>CAAF</i>	0	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%
<i>Dist. Courts</i>	0	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.5%
<i>State Courts</i>	9	5	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	0.0%	17.5%
<i>Original</i>	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.3%
Total[†]	49	31	9	18.4%	38	77.6%	1	2.0%	

Consolidated cases are counted together. Substantive summary reversals or affirmances are counted.

* *Al-Marri v. Spagone* was vacated and remanded by a summary disposition order before argument.

[†] This list does not include *Bell v. Kelly* or *Philip Morris*, which were dismissed.

Decisions by Final Vote—OT08

9-0 (or unan.)	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4
19 (39.6%)	1 (2%)	8 (16.7%)	9 (18.8%)	11 (22.9%)
<i>Moore</i> (PC)	<i>Negusie</i>	<i>Hayes</i>	<i>Ysursa</i>	<i>Altria</i>
<i>Nelson</i> (PC)		<i>Vermont v. Brillon</i>	<i>Winter</i>	<i>Spears</i> (PC)
<i>Locke</i>		<i>Puckett</i>	<i>Hedgpeth</i> (PC)	<i>Vaden</i>
<i>Kennedy</i>		<i>Harbison</i>	<i>Waddington</i>	<i>Herring</i>
<i>Crawford</i>		<i>Nken</i>	<i>Wyeth</i>	<i>Summers</i>
<i>Pearson</i>		<i>Cone</i>	<i>Carcieri</i>	<i>Bartlett</i>
<i>Jimenez</i>		<i>Dean</i>	<i>Entergy Corp.</i>	<i>Oregon</i>
<i>Eurodif</i>		<i>Ventris</i>	<i>Shinseki</i>	<i>14 Penn Plaza</i>
<i>Van de Kamp</i>			<i>Iran</i>	<i>Corley</i>
<i>Chambers</i>				<i>Arizona v. Gant</i>
<i>Pleasant Grove</i>				<i>FCC</i>
<i>Kansas v. Colorado</i>				
<i>Fitzgerald</i>	Dismissed			
<i>Pacific Bell</i>	<i>Bell v. Kelly</i> (07-1223) <i>Phillip Morris v. Mayola</i> (07-1216) <i>al-Marri v. Spagone</i> (08-368) (before argument)			
<i>Arizona v. Johnson</i>				
<i>Knowles</i>				
<i>Hawaii</i>				
<i>Rivera</i>				
<i>Navajo Nation</i>				

<i>Final OT07</i>				
21 (30%)	6 (8%)	20 (28%)	10 (14%)	14 (20%)

<i>Final OT06</i>				
28 (38%)	9 (12%)	9 (12%)	3 (4%)	24 (33%)

<i>Final OT05</i>				
45 (52%)	5 (6%)	12 (14%)	13 (15%)	11 (13%)

Frequency in the Majority

(Through April 29, 2009)

The charts below measure how frequently each Justice has voted with the majority in cases decided on the merits thus far. It does not include dismissed cases where the vote was not disclosed (*al-Marri*, *Bell v. Kelly* and *Phillip Morris v. Mayola*), but does include the three per curiam summary reversals (*Moore*, *Spears*, and *Nelson*).

The first chart examines the results for all cases, the second only for divided cases with at least one dissenting vote. In each, we list the number of times each Justice has voted with the majority, the number of times each Justice has voted overall, the frequency with which each Justice has voted with the majority in OT08 thus far, and the corresponding figure for the full OT07 term.

Justice	Majority votes	Total votes	% in majority	%in OT07
Kennedy	45	48	93.8%	85.5%
Thomas	41	48	85.4%	75.4%
Scalia	41	48	85.4%	81.2%
Roberts	40	48	83.3%	89.7%
Alito	40	48	83.3%	82.4%
Breyer	37	48	77.1%	78.5%
Ginsburg	35	48	72.9%	75.4%
Souter	33	48	68.8%	76.8%
Stevens	32	48	66.7%	75.4%

Justice	Majority votes	Total votes	% in majority	%in OT07
Kennedy	26	29	89.7%	79.2%
Thomas	22	29	75.9%	64.6%
Scalia	22	29	75.9%	72.9%
Roberts	21	29	72.4%	85.1%
Alito	21	29	72.4%	74.5%
Breyer	18	29	62.1%	68.2%
Ginsburg	16	29	55.2%	64.6%
Souter	14	29	48.3%	66.7%
Stevens	13	29	44.8%	64.6%

SCOTUSblog Agreement Stats for OT08 - PRELIMINARY (4/30/09)

	Stevens	Scalia	Ken	Sout	Thom	Gins	Breyer	Alito	Total Cases
CJ Rob	44%	83%	79%	46%	75%	48%	54%	81%	48
	44%	88%	81%	48%	79%	52%	63%	88%	
	54%	90%	83%	56%	83%	56%	69%	92%	
	46%	10%	17%	44%	17%	44%	31%	8%	
Stevens	40%	52%	52%	75%	35%	77%	75%	38%	48
	44%	52%	79%	40%	79%	77%	42%		
	52%	60%	85%	52%	85%	81%	54%		
	48%	40%	15%	48%	15%	19%	46%		
Scalia	71%	48%	79%	50%	50%	75%		48	
	77%	52%	85%	56%	58%	81%			
	79%	58%	88%	58%	63%	85%			
	21%	42%	13%	42%	38%	15%			
Ken	58%	67%	65%	63%	71%		48		
	60%	73%	69%	71%	79%				
	67%	81%	71%	75%	83%				
	33%	19%	29%	25%	17%				
Sout	40%	88%	60%	33%		48			
	46%	88%	65%	42%					
	58%	92%	75%	52%					
	42%	8%	25%	48%					
Thom	42%	44%	77%		48				
	50%	54%	81%						
	58%	63%	83%						
	42%	38%	17%						
Gins	66%	40%		48					
	70%	50%							
	74%	56%							
	26%	44%							
Breyer	52%		48						
	60%								
	69%								
	31%								
Alito			48						

Key

Full Agreement
Agree in Part OR All
In Part, All, OR Judgment
Disagree

SCOTUSblog Agreement Stats for OT08 - PRELIMINARY (4/30/09)

	Stevens	Scalia	Ken	Sout	Thom	Gins	Breyer	Alito	Total Cases
CJ Rob	21	40	38	22	36	23	26	39	48
	21	42	39	23	38	25	30	42	
	26	43	40	27	40	27	33	44	
	22	5	8	21	8	21	15	4	
Stevens	19	25	25	36	17	37	36	18	48
	21	25	29	38	19	38	37	20	
	25	29	41	41	25	41	39	26	
	23	19	7	23	7	9	22		
Scalia	34	23	34	38	24	24	36	48	
	37	25	37	41	27	28	39		
	38	28	42	28	30	41			
	10	20	6	20	18	7			
Ken	28	32	28	30	34	48			
	29	35	33	34	38				
	32	39	34	36	40				
	16	9	14	12	8				
Sout	19	42	29	16	48				
	22	42	31	20					
	28	44	36	25					
	20	4	12	23					
Thom	20	21	37	48					
	24	26	39						
	28	30	40						
	20	18	8						
Gins	31	19	48						
	33	24							
	35	27							
	12	21							
Breyer	25	48							
	29								
	33								
	15								
Alito							48		

Key

Full Agreement
Agree in Part OR All
In Part, All, OR Judgment
Disagree

Non-Unanimous Agreement Stats for OT08 - PRELIMINARY (4/30/09)

	Stevens	Scalia	Ken	Sout	Thom	Gins	Breyer	Alito	Total Cases
CJ Rob	14%	76%	66%	24%	66%	21%	31%	79%	29
	14%	79%	69%	24%	69%	24%	41%	83%	
	24%	83%	72%	28%	72%	28%	48%	86%	
	76%	17%	28%	72%	28%	72%	52%	14%	
Stevens	10%	28%	28%	66%	7%	69%	66%	14%	29
	14%	28%	69%	10%	69%	66%	14%		
	21%	34%	76%	21%	76%	69%	24%		
	79%	66%	24%	79%	24%	31%	76%		
Scalia	55%	24%	76%	21%	28%	72%		29	
	62%	31%	79%	31%	34%	72%			
	66%	31%	79%	31%	38%	76%			
	34%	69%	21%	69%	62%	24%			
Ken	45%	52%	48%	45%	62%		29		
	45%	59%	52%	55%	69%				
	45%	69%	52%	59%	72%				
	55%	31%	48%	41%	28%				
Sout	21%	86%	48%	14%		29			
	24%	86%	48%	17%					
	31%	86%	59%	21%					
	69%	14%	41%	79%					
Thom	17%	21%	66%		29				
	24%	31%	69%						
	31%	38%	72%						
	69%	62%	28%						
Gins	50%	17%		29					
	50%	24%							
	57%	28%							
	43%	72%							
Breyer	31%		29						
	41%								
	48%								
	52%								
Alito			29						

Key

Full Agreement
Agree in Part OR All
In Part, All, OR Judgment
Disagree

Non-Unanimous Agreement Stats for OT08 - PRELIMINARY (4/30/09)

	Stevens	Scalia	Ken	Sout	Thom	Gins	Breyer	Alito	Total Cases
CJ Rob	4	22	19	7	19	6	9	23	29
	4	23	20	7	20	7	12	24	
	7	24	21	8	21	8	14	25	
	22	5	8	21	8	21	15	4	
Stevens	3	8	8	19	2	20	19	4	29
	4	8	8	20	3	20	19	4	
	6	10	10	22	6	22	20	7	
	23	19	7	23	7	9	22		
Scalia	16	7	7	22	6	8	21	29	
	18	9	9	23	9	10	21		
	19	9	9	23	9	11	22		
	10	20	6	20	18	7			
Ken	13	15	14	13	18	29			
	13	17	15	16	20				
	13	20	15	17	21				
	16	9	14	12	8				
Sout	6	25	14	4	29				
	7	25	14	5					
	9	25	17	6					
	20	4	12	23					
Thom	5	6	19	29					
	7	9	20						
	9	11	21						
	20	18	8						
Gins	14	5	29						
	14	7							
	16	8							
	12	21							
Breyer	9	29							
	12								
	14								
	15								
Alito								29	

Key

Full Agreement
Agree in Part OR All
In Part, All, OR Judgment
Disagree