STATISTICS FOR THE SUPREME COURT'S OCTOBER TERM 1995

Prepared by Thomas C. Goldstein, Adjunct Lecturer, Program on Law & Government, Washington College of Law

INTRODUCTION

The tables on these four pages set out a series of statistics for the just-finished Supreme Court Term. They are intended to give the reader a summary view of how the Court disposed of cases, as well as the degree to which the Justices agreed with each other. To be sure, the data is just a snapshot view, and cannot replace serious study of the Court's individual decisions. In addition, there were times when it was necessary to make judgment calls about whether the Justices in fact agreed with each other, notwithstanding the labels (e.g., concurring in the judgment) that accompanied their opinions.

UNANIMITY Unanimous decisions: 28 9-0 decisions, i.e., full agreement on the judgment but more than one opinion: 10 8-1 or 7-1 decisions: 9 7-2 or 6-2 decisions: 17 6-3 decisions: 4 5-4 decisions: 13 4-4 decisions: 1 Other arrangements: 3

GENERAL STATISTICS FOR OCTOBER TERM 1995

DISPOSITIONS Cases disposed of after argument and by signed opinion: 75 Cases disposed of after argument but without signed opinion: 2 Cases disposed of by substantial opinion but without oral argument, i.e., cases decided on the briefs: 8 Total number of cases: 85

JUDGMENTS	
Lower court opinions reversed or vacated:	50
Lower court opinions affirmed:	29
Lower court opinions reversed or	
vacated in part and affirmed in part:	4
Original jurisdiction or habeas dismissed:	2
TREATMENT OF THE UNITED STATES	
Record of the United States as a party or am	icus
curiae in cases disposed of after argument a	nd
by signed opinion: Won 37; Lost 16; and W	
in Part 1	

JUSTICE-BY-JUSTICE STATISTICS FOR OCTOBER TERM 1995

1995

OPINION AUTHORSHIP

	Rehnquist	Stevens	O'Connor	Scalia	Kennedy	Souter	Thomas	Ginsburg	Breyer
Majority	10	6	8	3	8	7	3	8	5
Plurality or Plurality-like	0	l	1	1	0	I	0	0	3
Dissent (full or partial)	l	22	3	8	5	6	9	3	6
Concurrence	4	7	3	9	5	6	5	7	4
Total opinions authored	15	36	15	26	18	20	22	18	18
Unanimous Majority	1	3	2	5	4	5	2	l	2

Per Curiam or other unsigned disposition: 10

judgment and 3 others in which they split 5-4

over a key issue in the case)

DISSENTING VOTES		Number of times each Justice was a men	mber
÷.	; ;	of a 5-4 majority:	
Number of times each Justice dis	ssented in	Rehnquist:	10
full or in part:		Stevens:	5
Rehnquist:	14	O'Connor:	12
Stevens:	23	Scalia:	10
O'Connor:	8	Kennedy:	13
Scalia:	17	Souter:	7
Kennedy:	6	Thomas:	10
Souter:	11	Ginsburg:	7
Thomas:	1 9	Breyer:	6
Ginsburg:	17		
Breyer:	16		
		Number of times particular 5-4 voting	
Number of times a Justice was th	ie sole	arrangements occurred:	
dissenter in a case:		Rehnquist, O'Connor, Scalia,	
Stevens:	5	Kennedy, Thomas:	7
Scalia:	2	Rehnquist, O'Connor, Scalia,	
Thomas:	2	Thomas, Ginsburg:	1
All others:	0	Rehnquist, O'Connor, Scalia, Souter, Thor	mas: 1
		Rehnquist, Scalia, Kennedy, Thomas,	
5-4 Decisions		Ginsburg:	1
5-4 decisions: 16 (this includes bo	th the 13	O'Connor, Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, Br	eyer: 1
cases in which the Justices split 5-4	in the	Stevens, O'Connor, Kennedy, Souter, Brey	-

Stevens, O'Connor, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer: 1

Stevens, Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer: 3

KEY

The following chart sets forth how often the individual Justices voted together or apart. Each "cell" shows the relationship between two Justices, and includes six pieces of information.

(1) Number of o	cases decided together	
(2) Number with full agreement	(3) Number with partial agreement	(4) Number with total disagreement
(5) Percentage (of total agreement	
(6) Percentage	of total and partial agreer	nent together

01.18-3139/96/50+51.00

So, in the "cell" for Justices Rehnquist and Stevens (reproduced to the right), you see that they decided 81 cases together, in which they agreed in full 38 times, in part 13 times, and not at all 30 times. Their full agreement in 38 of 81 cases is 46.91%. Their full or partial agreement in 51 of 81 cases is 62.96%.

81		
38	13	30
46.91%		
62.96%		

Data on Voting Relationships

	Rel	inquis	it	Stev	ens		O'Connor Scalia K				Ken	nedy		Sou	ter		Tho	mas		Gin	sburg		Breyer						
Rehnquist				81			83	83			83					83			82			83			83				
				38	13	30	65	7	11	63	10	10	62	7	14	54	11	18	64	9	9	53	11	19	47	14	22		
	,			46.9	1%		78.31	%		75.9	0%		74.7	74.70%			65.06%			78.05%			63.86%			56.63%			
				62.9	6%		86.75%			87.9	87.95%			83.13%			78.31%			89.02%			77.11%			73.49%			
Stevens	81						81	81			81			81			81			80			81			81			
	38	13	30				44	14	23	33	15	33	49	13	19	52	17	12	34	14	32	56	12	13	53	19	9		
	46.91%	46.91%					54.32%			40.74%			60.4	9%		64.20%			42.5	0%		69.14%			65.43%				
	62.96%						71.60%			59.26%			76.54%			85.19%			60.00%			83.95%			88.89%				
O'Connor	83			81						83			83			83			82			83			83				
	65	7	11	44	14	23]			57	11	15	62	11	10	61	11	11	57	11	14	55	11	17	59	11	13		
	78.31%			54.32%					68.6	7%		74.7	0%		73.49%			69.5	1%		66.27%			71.08%					
	86.75%	86.75%			71.60%			81.93%			87.95% 86.75%					82.9	3%		79.52%			84.34%							

	Re	hnqui	ist	Ste	evens		0,0	Conne	r	Sca	lia		Ke	nnedy	,	Sou	ter		The	mas		Gin	sbur	<u>.</u>	Breyer				
Scalia	83	·		81			83						83			83			82			83			83				
	63	10	10	33	15	33	57	11	15				54	11	18	46	15	22	68	8	6	44	14	25					
	75.90%			40.1	74%		68.67%						65.0)6%		55.42%			82.9	<u> </u>	1	53.0	L		49.40%				
	87.95%			59.2	26%		81.9	3%						31%		73.4	9%		92.6			69.8			68.67%				
Kennedy	83			81			83			83						83			82			83			 				
	62	7	14	49	13	19	62	11	10	54	11	18	1			58	14	11	53	10	19	61	9	T.,	83	Τ	Τ.		
	74.70%	,		60.4	60.49%			0%		65.0	06%	<u></u>	1			69.8	<u></u>	L.,	64.6	L	19	 	I	13	52	18	1		
	83.13%	,		76.5	54%		87.9	5%		78.3	1%		1				5%		 			73.49%			62.65%				
Souter	83			81		·	83						83			80.7.			76.83	3%0		84.3	4%		84.34%				
			12	61	11	11	46	15	22	58	14	11	}			82		l .	83	г	 	83	r						
	65.06%	65.06%			64.20%			73.49%			55.42%			69.88%			4			46 13 23			65 10 8			67 12 4			
	78.31%	78.31%				85.19%			86.75%			73.49%			86.75%			1			56.10%			78.31%			80.72%		
Thomas	82	·		80			82			82			80.73%						71.95			90.36%			95.18%				
	64	9	9	34 14 32			57 11 14			68 8 6			 	T	T	82						82			82				
	78.05%	L	L	42.50%			69.51%			82.93%			53 10 19			46 13 23			4			44	12	26	38	17	27		
	89.02%				60.00%			82.93%			92.68%			64.63%			56.10%			_			53.66%			46.34%			
Cinal	83						 				8%		76.83%			71.95	%					68.29	%		67.07%				
Ginsburg		T	l	81	11		83			83	Γ	·	83	r		83	·····		82						83				
	53	11	19	56	12	13	55	11	17	44	14	25	61	61 9 13		65	10	8	44	12	26				58	15	10		
	63.86%			69.1		_	66.27			53.0	1%		73.49	9%		78.31	%		53.66	%					69.88	%			
	77.11%			83.9	5%		79.52	%		69:88	5%		84.34	1%		90.36	%		68.29	%					87.95	%			
Breyer	ļ	83		81			83	 -		83			83			83			82			83							
	47	47 14		53	19	9	59	11	13	41	16	26	52	18	13	67	12	4	38	17	27	58	15	10					
	56.63%			65.43	65.43%			71.08%			49.40%			62.65%			80.72%			46.34%			%						
	73.49%			88.89	88.89%			%		68.67	19%		84.34	84.34%			95.18%			%		87.95							

0148-8139/96/50+51.00