

App. 1

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT**

No. 19-3444

ADAM JARCHOW AND MICHAEL D. DEAN,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN, et al.,
Defendants, Appellees.

Appeal from the U.S. District Court for the Western
District of Wisconsin (Hon. Barbara B. Crabb, U.S.
District Judge)

ORDER

December 23, 2019

Before FLAUM, EASTERBROOK, and SCUDDER,
Circuit Judges.

The following is before the court: MOTION FOR
SUMMARY AFFIRMANCE, filed on December 16,
2019, by counsel for the appellants.

App. 2

This court has carefully reviewed the final order of the district court, the record on appeal, and appellants' motion for summary affirmance. Based on this review, the court has determined that further briefing would not be helpful to the court's consideration of the issues. See *Taylor v. City of New Albany*, 979 F.2d 87 (7th Cir. 1992); *Mather v. Village of Mundelein*, 869 F.2d 356, 357 (7th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) (court can decide case on motions papers and record where briefing would not assist the court and no member of the panel desires briefing or argument). "Summary disposition is appropriate 'when the position of one party is so clearly correct as a matter of law that no substantial question regarding the outcome of the appeal exists.'" *Williams v. Chrans*, 42 F.3d 1137, 1139 (7th Cir. 1995), citing *Joshua v. United States*, 17 F.3d 378, 380 (Fed. Cir. 1994). The district court, in its thorough and well-reasoned order, correctly held that the appellants' claims are foreclosed by *Keller v. State Bar of California*, 496 U.S. 1 (1990). Appellants have preserved their position for review by the Supreme Court.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the appellants' motion is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is summarily AFFIRMED.