

State, 1979 OK CR 65, 597 P.2d 340. Petitioner has previously filed numerous post-conviction proceedings that were denied by the District Court and affirmed if appealed to this Court. *E.g. Johnson, Jr., v. State*, No. PC-2017-645 (Okl.Cr. September 8, 2017) (not for publication); *Johnson, Jr., v. State*, No. PC-2017-362 (Okl.Cr. April 27, 2017) (not for publication); *Johnson, Jr., v. State*, No. PC-2013-1151 (Okl.Cr. April 23, 2014) (not for publication); *Johnson, Jr., v. State*, No. PC-2006-267 (Okl.Cr. June 8, 2006) (not for publication); *Johnson, Jr., v. State*, No. PC-2002-1322 (Okl.Cr. December 12, 2002) (not for publication); *Johnson, Jr., v. State*, No. PC-1999-1163 (Okl.Cr. November 1, 1999) (not for publication).

Petitioner has again failed to establish entitlement to any relief in this subsequent post-conviction proceeding. Post-conviction review provides petitioners with very limited grounds upon which to base a collateral attack on their judgments, particularly in a subsequent post-conviction proceeding. *Logan v. State*, 2013 OK CR 2, ¶ 3, 293 P.3d 969, 973. All issues that were previously raised and ruled upon by this Court in Petitioner's direct appeal or his previous post-conviction applications are procedurally barred from further

review under the doctrine of *res judicata*. 22 O.S.2011, § 1086; *Logan, supra*. All issues that could have been but were not raised in Petitioner's direct appeal or his previous post-conviction applications are waived for further review. *Id.*

Petitioner has not presented new evidence which might refute his guilt. He has not provided any reason why the arguments he makes in this subsequent application for post-conviction relief were not asserted or were inadequately raised in his numerous prior applications. *Id.* Petitioner's arguments are waived and procedurally barred and his state remedies are and have been deemed exhausted on all issues raised in his petition in error, brief and any prior appeals or post-conviction proceedings. Rule 5.5, *Rules of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals*, Title 22, Ch.18, App. (2018).


Therefore, the order of the District Court of Seminole County, Case No. CRF-1977-65, denying Petitioner's 11th & subsequent application for post-conviction relief; denying his motion to vacate for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; and denying his motion to dismiss CRF-1977-65 under the major crimes act should be, and is hereby, **AFFIRMED**. Pursuant to Rule 3.15, *Rules, supra*, the MANDATE is

ORDERED issued forthwith upon the filing of this decision with the Clerk of this Court.


IT IS SO ORDERED.

WITNESS OUR HANDS AND THE SEAL OF THIS COURT this


24th day of July, 2018.



GARY L. LUMPKIN, Presiding Judge




DAVID B. LEWIS, Vice Presiding Judge



ROBERT L. HUDSON, Judge




DANA KUEHN, Judge



SCOTT ROWLAND, Judge

ATTEST:



Clerk

PA