Merits Cases by Vote Split

9-0	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4
28 (39%)	6 (8%)	11 (15%)	7 (10%)	19 (26%)
Kernan v. Cuero (PC)	Collins v. Virginia	Marinello v. U.S.	Tharpe v. Sellers (PC)	Artis v. D.C.
Dunn v. Madison (PC)	Sveen v. Melin	Kisela v. Hughes (PC)	Class v. U.S.	Murphy v. Smith
Hamer v. Neighborhood Housing	Lozman v. Riviera Beach	Oil States v. Greene's	Patchak v. Zinke	Jennings v. Rodriguez (5-3)
In re United States (PC)	Pereira v. Sessions	Murphy v. NCAA	Wilson v. Sellers	Encino Motorcars v. Navarro
D.C. v. Wesby	Sexton v. Beaudreaux (PC)	Upper Skagit v. Lundgren	McCoy v. Louisiana	Sessions v. Dimaya
NAM v. DOD	North Carolina v. Covington (PC)	Masterpiece v. Co. Comm'n	Hughes v. U.S.	Jesner v. Arab Bank
CNH v. Reese (PC)		MN Voter's Alliance v. Mansky	Chavez-Meza v. U.S. (5-3)	SAS Inst. v. Iancu
Montana v. Wyoming (PC) (8-0)		Rosales-Mireles v. U.S.		Epic v. Lewis
Digital Realty v. Somers		Lucia v. S.E.C.		Husted v. Randolph Inst.
Rubin v. Iran (8-0)		WesternGeco v. ION		South Dakota v. Wayfair
Merit v. FTI		Ortiz v. U.S.		Wisconsin Central v. U.S.
U.S. Bank v. Lakeridge				Carpenter v. U.S.
Texas v. New Mexico				Currier v. Virginia
Cyan v. Beaver				Ohio v. AmEx
Ayestas v. Davis				Abbott v. Perez
Hall v. Hall				Trump v. Hawaii
U.S. v. Sanchez-Gomez				NIFLA v. Becerra
Byrd v. U.S.				Florida v. Georgia
Dahda v. U.S. (8-0)				Janus v. Am. Fed.
Lagos v. U.S.				

Past Terms								
	9-0	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4			
OT10	46%	12%	15%	5%	20%			
OT11	45%	11%	8%	17%	20%			
OT12	49%	5%	9%	8%	29%			
OT13	66%	3%	10%	8%	14%			
OT14	41%	7%	12%	15%	26%			
OT15	48%	11%	20%	11%	5%			
OT16	59%	9%	17%	4%	10%			
Avg.	50%	8%	13%	10%	18%			

^{*} We treat cases with eight or fewer votes as if they were decided by the full court. For 8-0, 7-1, and 6-2 decisions, we simply assume that the recused justice would have joined the majority. In cases that are decided 5-3, we look at each case individually to decide whether it was more likely that the recused justice would join the majority or the dissent. Our assumption that nine justices voted in each case applies only to figures that treat each case as a whole, like the chart above, and not to figures that focus on the behavior of individual justices, like our Justice Agreement charts.

Koons v. U.S. Lamar v. Appling Azar v. Garza (PC) China Agritech v. Resh Animal Sci. Prods v. Hebei

Gill v. Whitford

Benisek v. Lamone (PC) Sause v. Bauer (PC)

^{**} For cases that are decided by a 5-4 vote, we provide information about whether the majority was made up of the most common conservative bloc (Roberts, Kennedy, Thomas, Alito and Gorsuch), the most common liberal bloc (Kennedy, Ginsburg, Breyer, Sotomayor and Kagan), or a more uncommon alignment. A conservative line-up is marked with a red square, a liberal line-up is marked with a blue square, and all others are marked with a yellow square.

^{***}For per curium opinions, we assume that all justices who do not publicly dissent voted with the majority.