Case: 16-80140, 06/14/2017, ID: 10473442, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MICHAEL BARBER; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80140

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03086-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80141, 06/13/2017, ID: 10471330, DktEntry: 6, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

STACEY ELLIOT; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80141

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-05055-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 5) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 13 2017

Case: 16-80142, 06/13/2017, ID: 10471345, DktEntry: 5, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DAVID GARRY; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80142

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-04409-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 4) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 13 2017

Case: 16-80144, 06/13/2017, ID: 10471368, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GEANICE GRANT; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80144

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03083-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 13 2017

Case: 16-80145, 06/13/2017, ID: 10471391, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HELEN HALL and SAMMY HALL,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80145

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-05199-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 13 2017

Case: 16-80146, 06/13/2017, ID: 10471407, DktEntry: 8, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

WALTER HERBERT; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80146

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03085-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 7) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 13 2017

Case: 16-80147, 06/14/2017, ID: 10473469, DktEntry: 5, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

WANDA HOLDEN; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80147

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03087-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 4) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80148, 06/14/2017, ID: 10473489, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

THELMA LESCH; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80148

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-04608-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80149, 06/14/2017, ID: 10472489, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CHARLES HENRY LEWIS; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80149

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-04819-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80150, 06/14/2017, ID: 10472517, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LISA OEHRING; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80150

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03088-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80151, 06/14/2017, ID: 10472568, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HEATHER QUINN; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80151

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03080-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80152, 06/14/2017, ID: 10472591, DktEntry: 5, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DAVID RESOVSKY; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80152

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-03082-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 4) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017

Case: 16-80153, 06/14/2017, ID: 10473515, DktEntry: 7, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LESLIE-ELAINE SUTTON; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 16-80153

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-04012-EMC Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

On February 13, 2017, the court held this petition for permission in

abeyance pending the resolution of appeal No. 17-15257 (formerly petition No.

16-80139), Jerry Dunson, et al. v. Cordis Corporation. The mandate has issued in

that appeal, and this court's decision affirming the district court's remand order is

final. See Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551, 557 (9th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings in this petition is lifted.

Petitioner's motion to continue to hold this petition for permission to appeal in abeyance (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied.

The petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c) is denied. *See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 1096, 1100 (9th Cir. 2010).

FILED

JUN 14 2017