

Merits Cases by Vote Split

9-0 41 (59%)	8-1 6 (9%)	7-2 12 (17%)	6-3 3 (4%)	5-4 7 (10%)
Bosse v. Oklahoma (PC) (8-0)	SCA Hygiene v. First Quality (7-1)	Buck v. Davis (6-2)	Midland v. Johnson (5-3)	Pena-Rodriguez v. Colorado (5-3)
Bravo-Fernandez v. U.S. (8-0)	McLane v. EEOC (7-1)	NLRB v. SW General (6-2)	Hernandez v. Mesa (PC) (5-3)	Moore v. Texas (5-3)
State Farm v. U.S. ex rel. Rigsby (8-0)	Nelson v. Colorado (7-1)	Manuel v. Joliet (6-2)	Pavan v. Smith (PC)	Cooper v. Harris (5-3)
Salman v. U.S. (8-0)	Kindred v. Clark (7-1)	Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands (6-2)		McWilliams v. Dunn
Samsung v. Apple (8-0)	Impression v. Lexmark (7-1)	Czyzewski v. Jevic Holding (6-2)		Murr v. Wisconsin (5-3)
Shaw v. U.S. (8-0)	Bristol-Myers v. Superior Ct.	Manrique v. U.S. (6-2)		Cal. Public Employees' v. ANZ
White v. Pauly (PC) (8-0)		Ziglar v. Abbasi (4-2)		Davila v. Davis
Lightfoot v. Cendant (8-0)		Weaver v. Massachusetts		
Life Technologies v. Promega (7-0)		Turner v. U.S. (6-2)		
Fry v. Napoleon Comm. Schs. (8-0)		Lee v. U.S. (6-2)		
Bethune-Hill v. Bd. of Elections (8-0)		Perry v. MSPB		
Beckles v. U.S. (7-0)		Trinity v. Pauley		
Rippo v. Baker (PC) (8-0)				
Andrew F. v. Douglas Cty. Sch. Dist. (8-0)				
Expressions v. Schneiderman (8-0)				
Dean v. U.S. (8-0)				
Coventry Health v. Nevils (8-0)				
Goodyear Tire v. Haeger (8-0)				
Lewis v. Clark (8-0)				
Venezuela v. Helmerich (8-0)				
BoA v. Miami (8-0)				
Howell v. Howell (8-0)				
TC Heartland v. Kraft (8-0)				
Water Splash v. Menon (8-0)				
Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions (8-0)				
BNSF Railway v. Tyrrell				
LA Cty. v. Mendez (8-0)				
Chester v. Laroe				
Honeycutt v. U.S. (8-0)				
Kokesh v. SEC				
Advocate v. Stapleton (8-0)				
N.C. v. Covington (PC)				
Sandoz v. Amgen				
Microsoft v. Baker (8-0)				
Sessions v. Morales-Santana (8-0)				
Henson v. Santander				
Virginia v. LeBlanc (PC)				
Packingham v. N.C. (8-0)				
Matal v. Tam (8-0)				
Jenkins v. Hutton (PC)				
Maslenjak v. U.S.				

Past Terms					
	9-0	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4
OT10	46%	12%	15%	5%	20%
OT11	45%	11%	8%	17%	20%
OT12	49%	5%	9%	8%	29%
OT13	66%	3%	10%	8%	14%
OT14	41%	7%	12%	15%	26%
OT15	48%	11%	20%	11%	5%
Avg.	49%	8%	12%	11%	19%

* We treat cases with eight or fewer votes as if they were decided by the full court. For 8-0, 7-1, and 6-2 decisions, we simply assume that the recused justice would have joined the majority. In cases that are decided 5-3, we would look at each case individually to decide whether it was more likely that the recused justice would join the majority or the dissent. Our assumption that nine justices voted in each case applies only to figures that treat each case as a whole, like the chart above, and not to figures that focus on the behavior of individual justices, like our Justice Agreement charts.

** For cases that are decided by a 5-4 vote, we provide information about whether the majority was made up of the most common conservative bloc (Roberts, Kennedy, Thomas, Alito and Gorsuch), the most common liberal bloc (Kennedy, Ginsburg, Breyer, Sotomayor and Kagan), or a more uncommon alignment. A conservative line-up is marked with a red square, a liberal line-up is marked with a blue square, and all others are marked with a yellow square.