

No. 14-1418

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

MOST REVEREND DAVID A. ZUBIK, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, IN HER OFFICIAL
CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL.,
Respondents.

**On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit**

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONERS

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
DAVID T. RAIMER
ANTHONY J. DICK
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 879-3939
njfrancisco@jonesday.com

PAUL M. POHL
Counsel of Record
JOHN D. GOETZ
LEON F. DEJULIUS, JR.
IRA M. KAROLL
ALISON M. KILMARTIN
MARY PAT STAHLER
JONES DAY
500 Grant St., Suite 4500
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
(412) 391-3939
pmpohl@jonesday.com

Counsel for Petitioners

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONERS	1
CONCLUSION	2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Catholic Health Care Sys. v. Burwell</i> , No. 14-427, 2015 WL 4665049 (2d Cir. Aug. 7, 2015).....	1
<i>Dordt Coll. v. Burwell</i> , No 14-2726, 2015 WL 5449504 (8th Cir. Sept. 17, 2015).....	1
<i>E. Tex. Baptist Univ. v. Burwell</i> , 793 F.3d 449 (5th Cir. 2015).....	1
<i>Eternal Word Television Network, Inc. v. Sec’y, U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.</i> , 756 F.3d 1339 (11th Cir. 2014).....	2
<i>Grace Schools v. Burwell</i> , Nos. 14-1430 & 14-1431, 2015 WL 5167841 (7th Cir. Sept. 4, 2015).....	2
<i>Little Sisters of the Poor v. Burwell</i> , 794 F.3d 1151 (10th Cir. 2015).....	1, 2
<i>Little Sisters of the Poor v. Burwell</i> , Nos. 13-1540, 14-6026, 14-6028, 2015 WL 5166807 (10th Cir. Sept. 3, 2015).....	2
<i>Mich. Catholic Conference v. Burwell</i> , Nos. 13-2723, 13-6640, 2015 WL 4979692 (6th Cir. Aug. 21, 2015).....	1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Priests for Life v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.</i> , 772 F.3d 229 (D.C. Cir. 2014)	1
<i>Priests for Life v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.</i> , No. 13-5368, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 8326 (D.C. Cir. May 20, 2015)	2
<i>Sharpe Holdings, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.</i> , No. 14-1507, 2015 WL 5449491 (8th Cir. Sept. 17, 2015).....	1
<i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Burwell</i> , 786 F.3d 606 (7th Cir. 2015).....	1, 2

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONERS

There can now be no dispute that the circuits are divided on the issues presented in this petition. On September 17, 2015, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit issued two unanimous opinions holding that the plaintiffs in those cases “were substantially likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that the contraceptive mandate and the accommodation process substantially burdens their exercise of religion in violation of [the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA)] and that the current accommodation process is not the least restrictive means of furthering the government’s interests.” *Sharpe Holdings, Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 14-1507, 2015 WL 5449491, at *13 (8th Cir. Sept. 17, 2015); *Dordt Coll. v. Burwell*, No 14-2726, 2015 WL 5449504 (8th Cir. Sept. 17, 2015). These holdings conflict with the decision below of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, Pet.App.1a, and with the decisions of the other circuits to uphold the Accommodation, e.g., *Mich. Catholic Conference v. Burwell*, Nos. 13-2723, 13-6640, 2015 WL 4979692 (6th Cir. Aug. 21, 2015); *Catholic Health Care Sys. v. Burwell*, No. 14-427, 2015 WL 4665049 (2d Cir. Aug. 7, 2015); *Little Sisters of the Poor v. Burwell*, 794 F.3d 1151 (10th Cir. 2015); *E. Tex. Baptist Univ. v. Burwell*, 793 F.3d 449 (5th Cir. 2015); *Priests for Life v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.*, 772 F.3d 229 (D.C. Cir. 2014); *Univ. of Notre Dame v. Burwell*, 786 F.3d 606 (7th Cir. 2015).

With the addition of Judges Wollman, Colloton, and Benton, fifteen circuit judges have now concluded that the Accommodation imposes a

substantial burden on plaintiffs' religious exercise. See *Grace Schools v. Burwell*, Nos. 14-1430 & 14-1431, 2015 WL 5167841, at *17 (7th Cir. Sept. 4, 2015) (Manion, J., dissenting); *Little Sisters of the Poor v. Burwell*, Nos. 13-1540, 14-6026, 14-6028, 2015 WL 5166807, at *1 (10th Cir. Sept. 3, 2015) (Hartz, J., dissenting); *Little Sisters of the Poor*, 794 F.3d at 1208 (Baldock, J., dissenting in part); *Priests for Life v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 13-5368, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 8326, at *42 (D.C. Cir. May 20, 2015) (Kavanaugh, J., dissenting); *id.* at *15 (Brown, J., dissenting); *Notre Dame*, 786 F.3d at 626 (7th Cir. 2015) (Flaum, J., dissenting); *Eternal Word Television Network, Inc. v. Sec'y, U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.*, 756 F.3d 1339, 1345 (11th Cir. 2014) (Pryor, J., concurring). That question—as well as whether the Government's regulatory scheme can survive strict scrutiny—is now ripe for resolution by this Court.

As Petitioners have explained, of all the pending petitions, this case presents the most fully-developed factual record. It also presents the question of whether the Government may discriminate among equally religious organizations. Reply Br. at 11-13. Accordingly, this Court should take this unique opportunity to resolve the divide in authority on this exceptionally important issue.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the petition for certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

NOEL J. FRANCISCO	PAUL M. POHL
DAVID T. RAIMER	JOHN D. GOETZ
ANTHONY J. DICK	LEON F. DEJULIUS, JR.
JONES DAY	IRA M. KAROLL
51 Louisiana Ave., NW	ALISON M. KILMARTIN
Washington, DC 20001	MARY PAT STAHLER
(202) 879-3939	JONES DAY
njfrancisco@jonesday.com	500 Grant St., Suite 4500
	Pittsburgh, PA 15219
September 18, 2015	(412)391-3939
	pmpohl@jonesday.com

Counsel for Petitioners