

**Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

**William K. Suter**  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

July 29, 2013

Clerk  
United States District Court  
for the District of Columbia  
333 Constitution Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, DC 20001


**Re: Texas  
v. United States, et al.  
No. 12-496 (Your docket No. 11-1303)**

Dear Clerk:

Attached please find a certified copy of the judgment of this Court in the above-entitled case.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM K. SUTER, Clerk

By 

Elizabeth Brown  
Judgments/Mandates Clerk

Enc.

cc: Paul D. Clement, Esq.  
Mark A. Posner, Esq.  
Solicitor General

Nina Perales, Esq.  
Paul M. Smith, Esq.

**Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

**William K. Suter**  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

July 29, 2013

Mr. Paul D. Clement  
Bancroft PLLC  
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 470  
Washington, DC 20036

**Re: Texas  
v. United States, et al.  
No. 12-496**

Dear Mr. Clement:

Today, a certified copy of the judgment of this Court in the above-entitled case was emailed to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

The appellant is given recovery of costs in this Court as follows:

**Clerk's costs:                      \$300.00**

This amount may be collected from the appellees.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM K. SUTER, Clerk

By 

Elizabeth Brown  
Judgments/Mandates Clerk

cc: Nina Perales, Esq.  
Paul M. Smith, Esq.  
Clerk, USDC for the District of Columbia  
(Your docket No. 11-1303)

Mark A. Posner, Esq.  
Solicitor General

**Supreme Court of the United States**

**No. 12-496**

**TEXAS,**

**Appellant**

**v.**

**UNITED STATES, ET AL.**

**ON APPEAL FROM** the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

**THIS CAUSE** having been submitted on the statement as to jurisdiction, the motion to affirm, the motion to affirm in part, and the motion to dismiss or affirm.

**ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF**, it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the above court is vacated with costs, and the case is remanded to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for further consideration in light of *Shelby County v. Holder*, 570 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2013), and the suggestion of mootness of appellees Wendy Davis, et al.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the appellant Texas recover from United States, et al. Three Hundred Dollars (\$300.00) for costs herein expended.

June 27, 2013

**Clerk's costs: \$300.00**

