October Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
08-680	Maryland v. Shatzer	Decided	ST	10/5/09	2/24/10	Scalia	9-0	Reversed and Remanded
	eak in custody" permits the police to resume questioning a sus					tody lasts more th		
he decision in	Edwards v. Arizona does not apply to suppress a confession.	<u></u>						
08-678	Mohawk Industries v. Carpenter	Decided	CA11	10/5/09	12/8/09	Sotomayor	9-0	Affirmed
Holding: A par	ty cannot immediately appeal from a federal trial judge's rulin	g that he must	turn over evi	dence protected b	y the attorney-clie	nt privilege.		
08-769	US v. Stevens	Decided	CA3	10/6/09	4/20/10	Roberts	8-1	Affirmed
	v that makes it a crime to create or sell depictions of animal cru unting videos) that it violates the First Amendment right to free		g, in this case	, dogrighting vide	eos) applies to such	n a broad spectrum	n of expres	ision (including,
08-6925	Johnson v. US	Decided	CA11	10/6/09	3/2/10	Scalia	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
Holding: The F guidelines.	Iorida felony offense of battery does not require physical force	e between two	people and th	erefore does not	constitute a "viole	nt felony" for the	purposes c	f federal sentencing
08-728	Bloate v. US	Decided	CA8	10/6/09	3/8/10	Thomas	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
Holding: The t	me granted to prepare pretrial motions is not automatically exc	cluded from th	e 70-day limi	t under the Speed	ly Trial Act of 197	4.		
08-472	Salazar v. Buono	Decided	CA9	10/7/09	4/28/10	Kennedy	5-4	Reversed and Remanded
	he received a court order in a previous case, the petitioner had and transfer to a group which wanted to maintain the cross.	standing to re-	quest that a c	ross placed in a fe	ederal park be rem	oved. However, tl	he district of	court was wrong to block the
08-103	Reed Elsevier v. Muchnick	Decided	CA2	10/7/09	3/2/10	Thomas	8-0	Reversed and Remanded
	by right must be registered before one may file an infringement aims involving works that are not registered.	claim, but the	failure of a co	opyright holder to	have a registratio	on does not restrict	t a federal	court's power to decide
08-604	Union Pacific R.R. v. Locomotive Eng.	Decided	CA7	10/7/09	12/8/09	Ginsburg	9-0	Affirmed
	al law provides for the binding arbitration of labor disputes involutional, and (ii) the arbitration ruling in this case was in fail statute.							
08-651	Padilla v. Kentucky	Decided	ST - KY	10/13/09	3/31/10	Stevens	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
	counseling a client on whether or not to plead guilty to a crim nmigration consequences.		torney has a r		ell his client if the		ause the cli	
08-724	Smith v. Spisak	Decided	CA6	10/13/09	1/12/10	Breyer	9-0	Reversed
	cting a jury to consider only mitigating facts that were clearly early influence the outcome of the case.	mitigating is n	ot a violation	of "clearly estab	lished Federal law	"." The defendant	s lawyer's	closing argument - poor or
138, Orig.	South Carolina v. North Carolina	Decided	Original	10/13/09	1/20/10	Alito	5-4	-
	states participate in litigation, private parties may intervene of	nly if they show	w a unique ar	nd compelling inte	erest. Here, two pa	arties were permit	ted to inter	vene but a third party was
shown to have	a compelling, but non-unique, interest.							
08-351	Alvarez v. Smith	Decided	CA7	10/14/09	12/8/09	Breyer	8-1	Vacated and Remanded
Holding: A cha property.	Illenge to an Illinois statute authorizing forfeiture of personal p	roperty used to	o facilitate dr	ug crimes was mo	ooted when parties	resolved underly	ing dispute	es as to ownership of the
08-970	Perdue v. Kenny A.	Decided	CA11	10/14/09	4/21/10	Alito	5-4	Reversed and Remanded
Holding: A fed circumstances.	eral court can award larger-than-usual attorney's fees to a civil	rights lawyer	who gives an	especially strong	g performance in a	particular case, b	ut only in '	"extraordinary

November Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
8-586	Jones v. Harris Associates LP.	Decided	CA7	11/2/09	3/30/10	Alito	9-0	Vacated and Remanded
nd's board of	I fund shareholders may challenge the amount of fees the directors. In deciding whether the fees are excessive, con and whether the board of directors engaged in a fully inf	ourts must consider th	e totality of	the circumstances				
8-1008	Shady Grove v. Allstate Ins. Co.	Decided	CA2	11/2/09	3/31/10	Scalia	5-4	Reversed and Remande
Iolding: Plaint ne case will be ourt.	iffs may sometimes sue for violations of state law in fede decided. The Court held that a state law prohibiting cert	eral court. In such castain state claims from	ses, state law being decid	decides the subs ed in a class action	tantive claims, bu n governed proce	it federal law decided decide	des the pro	ocedures by which apply in federal
8-992	Beard v. Kindler endant convicted in state court cannot challenge his convi	Decided	CA3	11/2/09	12/8/09	Roberts	8-0	Vacated and Remanded
r ignore it.	if the defendant missed a deadline created by state law.	Decided	CADC	11/3/09	1/13/10	Ginsburg	8-1	Reversed and Remande
olding: When	a third party challenges an agreement between an energy	company and a utili	ty over the r	ate the utility will	pay for electricit	y, federal law pres	sumes that	t rate is legal.
8-538	Schwab v. Reilly	Decided	CA3	11/3/09	6/17/10	Thomas	6-3	Reversed and Remande
e exempt.	tate's trustee in bankruptcy under Chapter 7 need not obje	Decided	CA2	11/3/09	1/25/10	Roberts	5_3	Reversed and Remande
e exempt. 8-969	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime unc	Decided der the federal Racke	CA2 teer Influence	11/3/09 ced and Corrupt C	1/25/10 Organizations Act	Roberts (RICO), it cannot	5-3 use that s	
e exempt. 8-969 folding: If a cir 8-1065	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee							
e exempt. 8-969 Iolding: If a cir 8-1065	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime unc	der the federal Racke	teer Influenc	ed and Corrupt C				
e exempt. 3-969 olding: If a cir 3-1065 ismissed follo 3-9156	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided	CA8	ed and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10	(RICO), it cannot	use that s	
e exempt. 8-969 Iolding: If a cir 8-1065 Dismissed follo 8-9156	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided	CA8	ed and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10	(RICO), it cannot	use that s	tatute to recover unpaid tax
e exempt. 8-969 Iolding: If a cir 8-1065 Dismissed follo 8-9156 Iolding: Defen 8-7412	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided Drney did not make ar Decided	CA8 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY	eed and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 ble decision'' to v 11/9/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10	(RICO), it cannot Sotomayor e of his mental def Kennedy	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3	Affirmed Reversed and Remande
e exempt. 8-969 lolding: If a cir 8-1065 vismissed follo 8-9156 lolding: Defen 8-7412 lolding: It is u	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his attor	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided Drney did not make ar Decided	CA8 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY	eed and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 ble decision'' to v 11/9/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10	(RICO), it cannot Sotomayor e of his mental def Kennedy	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3	Affirmed Reversed and Remando
e exempt. 8-969 lolding: If a cir 8-1065 vismissed follo 8-9156 lolding: Defen 8-7412 lolding: It is u unishment.	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided Drney did not make ar Decided	CA8 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY	eed and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 ble decision'' to v 11/9/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10	(RICO), it cannot Sotomayor e of his mental def Kennedy	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3	Affirmed Reversed and Remando
e exempt. 8-969 olding: If a cir 8-1065 ismissed follo 8-9156 olding: Defen 8-7412 olding: It is u unishment. 8-7621	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida inconstitutional to sentence a juvenile offender to life in p	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided orney did not make an Decided orison without parole	teer Influenc CA8 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY when the cr	ted and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 ime does not invo	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10 lve murder, given	(RICO), it cannot Sotomayor e of his mental def Kennedy n the Eighth Amer	<pre>use that s iciencies. 6-3 idment's b</pre>	Affirmed Reversed and Remando
e exempt. 8-969 Iolding: If a cir 8-1065 Dismissed follo 8-9156 Iolding: Defen 8-7412 Iolding: It is u unishment. 8-7621 Dismissed as in 8-964	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamic County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida inconstitutional to sentence a juvenile offender to life in p Sullivan v. Florida inprovidently granted. Bilski v. Kappos	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided	CA11 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY when the cr ST-KY CA-FED	ted and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10 lve murder, given 5/17/10 6/28/10	(RICO), it cannot Sotomayor e of his mental def Kennedy n the Eighth Amer	<pre>use that s iciencies. 6-3 idment's b</pre>	Affirmed Reversed and Remando
e exempt. 8-969 Iolding: If a cir 8-1065 Dismissed follo 8-9156 Iolding: Defen 8-7412 Iolding: It is u unishment. 8-7621 Dismissed as in 8-964	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamic County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida Inconstitutional to sentence a juvenile offender to life in p Sullivan v. Florida nprovidently granted.	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided	CA11 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY when the cr ST-KY CA-FED	ted and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10 lve murder, given 5/17/10 6/28/10	(RICO), it cannot	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3 adment's b 9-0	Affirmed Affirmed Reversed and Remande ban on "cruel and unusual"
e exempt. 8-969 lolding: If a cir 8-1065 lismissed follo 8-9156 lolding: Defen 8-7412 lolding: It is u unishment. 8-7621 lismissed as in 8-964 lolding: A met	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida inconstitutional to sentence a juvenile offender to life in p Sullivan v. Florida nprovidently granted. Bilski v. Kappos hod of doing business that seeks to instruct financial institutional institutinstinstitutional institutional institutional i	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided	CA11 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY when the cr ST-KY CA-FED	ted and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10 lve murder, given 5/17/10 6/28/10	(RICO), it cannot	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3 adment's b 9-0	Affirmed
e exempt. 8-969 lolding: If a cir 8-1065 vismissed follo 8-9156 lolding: Defen 8-7412 tolding: It is u unishment. 8-7621 vismissed as in 8-964 lolding: A met 8-911 lolding: The ju	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamic County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida inconstitutional to sentence a juvenile offender to life in p Sullivan v. Florida inprovidently granted. Bilski v. Kappos	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided Orney did not make an Decided Ornison without parole DIG Decided itutions on how to he Decided tionality Act applies	teer Influenc CA8 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY when the cr ST-KY CA-FED odge risk is n CA7 only to decis	ted and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 ot a patentable pr 11/10/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10 lve murder, given 5/17/10 6/28/10 occess. 1/20/10	(RICO), it cannot Sotomayor of his mental def Kennedy the Eighth Amer Per Curiam Kennedy Ginsburg	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3 idment's b 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0	Affirmed Reversed and Remande an on "cruel and unusual" Affirmed Reversed and Remande
8-969 Holding: If a cir 8-1065 Dismissed follo 8-9156 Holding: Defen 8-7412 Holding: It is uponishment. 8-7621 Dismissed as in 8-964 Holding: A met 8-911 Holding: The juliscretionary by 8-1107	Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York ty cannot show that it lost revenue because of a crime und Pottawattamie County v. McGhee wing settlement between parties Wood v. Allen dant's sentence should not be overturned because his atto Graham v. Florida nconstitutional to sentence a juvenile offender to life in p Sullivan v. Florida nprovidently granted. Bilski v. Kappos hod of doing business that seeks to instruct financial institution Kucana v. Holder risdiction-stripping provision of the Immigration and Nai	der the federal Racke Dismissed Decided orney did not make an Decided orison without parole DIG Decided itutions on how to he Decided tionality Act applies iscretionary by regula	teer Influence CA8 CA11 n "unreasona ST-KY when the cr ST-KY CA-FED dge risk is n CA7 only to decise ation. CA9	ted and Corrupt C 11/4/09 11/4/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 11/9/09 0t a patentable pro 11/10/09 sions by the Attor 11/10/09	rganizations Act 1/20/10 vithhold evidence 5/17/10 lve murder, given 5/17/10 6/28/10 ocess. 1/20/10 ney General (or the second se	(RICO), it cannot	use that s 7-2 iciencies. 6-3 idment's b 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0	Affirmed Affirmed Reversed and Remand an on "cruel and unusual" Affirmed Affirmed Reversed and Remand Reversed and Remand Vacated and Remand

December Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
08-304	Graham County v. US ex rel. Wilson	Decided	CA4	11/30/09	3/30/10	Stevens	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
Holding: Lawsui reports or audits	its under the Federal False Claims Act seeking to recover federa.	al funds that h	ave been mi	sspent are barred	if the information	used in the lawsu	iits came f	rom state or local agencies'
08-905	Merck v. Reynolds	Decided	CA3	11/30/09	4/27/10	Breyer	9-0	Affirmed
	ne for a plaintiff to file a federal securities fraud lawsuit begins cluding the defendant's intent to defraud.	to run as soor	n as a plaintii	ff discovers, or rea	asonably should h	ave discovered, a	ll of the fa	cts that make up
08-1119	Milavetz v. US	Decided	CA8	12/1/09	3/8/10	Sotomayor	9-0	Reversed and Remanded
speech rights.	l bankruptcy law prohibits lawyers from advising a debtor to tal					-		
08-1134	US Aid Funds v. Espinosa	Decided	CA9	12/1/09	3/23/10	Thomas	9-0	Affirmed
-	cruptcy court has the authority to discharge a student loan debt o				-			
08-1151	Stop the Beach Renourishment v. Florida orida Supreme Court held that when the state deposited sand to	Decided	ST-FL	12/2/09	6/17/10	Scalia	8-0	Affirmed
	Free Enterprise Fund v. PCAOB pulation that members of the Public Company Accounting Ove n unconstitutional limitation on the President's removal power.							
08-1175	Florida v. Powell	Decided	ST-FL	12/7/09	2/23/10	Ginsburg	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
Holding: Police point during que 08-876	satisfy the requirements of Miranda v. Arizona when they advis	se a suspect th	the has the CA7	e right to talk to a 12/8/09	lawyer before ans 6/24/10	wering questions Ginsburg		
08-1196	Weyhrauch v. US	Decided	CA9	12/8/09	6/24/10	Per Curiam	9-0	Vacated and Remanded
	d and remanded for further consideration in light of <i>Skilling v.</i>			12/0/07	0/27/10			vacated and remainded
08-1198	Stolt-Nielsen S.A. v. AnimalFeeds	Decided	CA2	12/9/09	4/27/10	Alito	5-3	Reversed and Remanded
same time, rathe	a defendant is alleged to have violated many people's rights, the r than litigate disputes in courts, people sometimes agree to arb arbitrate on a class-wide basis.							

January Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
132, Orig	Alabama v. North Carolina	Decided	Original	1/11/10	6/1/10	Scalia	7-2	-
Iolding: The Co	ourt adopts the Special Master's recommendations and overrule	s all nine exce	eptions prese	nted by the plaint	iffs.			
07-11191	Briscoe v. Virginia	Decided	ST-VA	1/11/10	1/25/10	Per Curiam	9-0	Vacated and Remanded
Holding: Vacate	ed and remanded in light of the Court's decision in Melendez-D	iaz v. Massaci	husetts (2009).				
08-1224	US v. Comstock	Decided	CA4	1/12/10	5/17/10	Breyer	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
	ourt upholds the law passed by Congress to order the civil comme e would be released.	nitment of a n	nentally ill fe	deral prisoner wh	io is a sex offende	er with the commit	tment to co	ontinue beyond the date the
08-645	Abbott v. Abbott	Decided	CA5	1/12/10	5/17/10	Kennedv	6-3	Reversed and Remanded
emove a child f	in thas a right of custody under the Hague Convention on child from the country where the child is living.			-				
08-661	American Needle v. NFL deral antitrust laws prohibit some "collective" action by "separa	Decided	CA7	1/13/10	5/24/10	Stevens	9-0	Reversed
08-1200	Jerman v. Carlisle	Decided	CA6	1/13/10	4/21/10	Sotomayor	7-2	Reversed and Remanded
Holding: The "b	ona bide error" defense of the Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct does not ap	ply to legal e	errors.		1 v		
Holding: The "b 08-240	ona bide error" defense of the Fair Debt Collection Practices A Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co.	ct does not ap Decided	ply to legal e	errors. 1/19/10	3/2/10	Sotomayor Alito	7-2 9-0	
Holding: The "b 08-240	ona bide error" defense of the Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct does not ap Decided	ply to legal e	errors. 1/19/10	3/2/10	1 v		Reversed and Remanded
Holding: The "b 08-240 Holding: A gas s 08-1214	Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termir Granite Rock v. Teamsters	ct does not ap Decided ation" under Decided	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9	errors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10	Alito	9-0	Reversed and Remanded Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remanded
Holding: The "b 08-240 Holding: A gas s 08-1214	ona bide error" defense of the Fair Debt Collection Practices A Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termin	ct does not ap Decided ation" under Decided	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9	errors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10	Alito	9-0	Reversed and Remanded Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remanded
Holding: The "b 08-240 Holding: A gas s 08-1214	Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termir Granite Rock v. Teamsters	ct does not ap Decided ation" under Decided	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9	errors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10	Alito	9-0	Reversed and Remanded Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remanded y an arbitrator.
Holding: The "b 08-240 Holding: A gas s 08-1214 Holding: The dis 08-1402 Holding: Defend selected from a p	Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. Station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termir Granite Rock v. Teamsters spute between the parties over the date on which their collective	ct does not ap Decided lation" under Decided e bargaining a Decided -section of the	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9 greement wa CA6 e community	rrors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10 is ratified was an 1/20/10 . In this case, in v	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10 issue to be decide 3/30/10 which an African-	Alito Thomas d by the district co Ginsburg -American man co	9-0 7-2 purt, not b 9-0 nvicted by	Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remanded y an arbitrator. Reversed and Remanded an all-white jury
Holding: The "b 08-240 Holding: A gas s 08-1214 Holding: The dis 08-1402 Holding: Defend selected from a p	Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termin Granite Rock v. Teamsters spute between the parties over the date on which their collective Berghuis v. Smith dants have the right to a trial by a jury selected from a fair cross pool that contained a very small percentage of African America	ct does not ap Decided lation" under Decided e bargaining a Decided -section of the	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9 greement wa CA6 e community	rrors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10 is ratified was an 1/20/10 . In this case, in v	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10 issue to be decide 3/30/10 which an African-	Alito Thomas d by the district co Ginsburg -American man co	9-0 7-2 purt, not b 9-0 nvicted by	Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remanded y an arbitrator. Reversed and Remanded an all-white jury
Holding: The "b 08-240 Holding: A gas s 08-1214 Holding: The dis 08-1402 Holding: Defend selected from a p rom the pool to 08-810	Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termin Granite Rock v. Teamsters spute between the parties over the date on which their collective Berghuis v. Smith dants have the right to a trial by a jury selected from a fair cross pool that contained a very small percentage of African America establish a constitutional violation.	ct does not ap Decided ation" under Decided e bargaining a Decided -section of the ns, the Court Decided	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9 greement wa CA6 e community held that then CA2	rrors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10 is ratified was an 1/20/10 . In this case, in v re was not enough 1/20/10	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10 issue to be decide 3/30/10 which an African- n evidence of syste 4/21/10	Alito Thomas d by the district cc Ginsburg American man co ematic exclusion c Roberts	9-0 7-2 purt, not b 9-0 nvicted by of African- 5-3	Reversed and Remande Affirmed in Part, Revers in Part, and Remanded y an arbitrator. Reversed and Remande y an all-white jury American jurors Reversed and Remande
lolding: The "b 08-240 lolding: A gas s 08-1214 lolding: The dis 08-1402 lolding: Defend elected from a p rom the pool to 08-810 lolding: When a ourt. The Cour	Mac's Shell Serv. v. Shell Oil Products, Co. station may not sue a former franchisor for "constructive termin Granite Rock v. Teamsters spute between the parties over the date on which their collective Berghuis v. Smith dants have the right to a trial by a jury selected from a fair cross pool that contained a very small percentage of African America establish a constitutional violation. Conkright v. Frommert	ct does not ap Decided ation" under Decided e bargaining a Decided -section of the ns, the Court Decided to resolve am	ply to legal e CA1 the Petroleur CA9 greement wa CA6 e community held that then CA2 ubiguities in f	rrors. 1/19/10 n Marketing Prac 1/19/10 is ratified was an 1/20/10 . In this case, in v re was not enough 1/20/10 the plan's terms, t	3/2/10 tices Act. 6/24/10 issue to be decide 3/30/10 which an African- n evidence of syste 4/21/10 the administrator'	Alito Thomas d by the district cc Ginsburg American man co ematic exclusion c Roberts s interpretation is o	9-0 7-2 purt, not by 9-0 nvicted by of African- 5-3 entitled to	Reversed and Remando Affirmed in Part, Rever- in Part, and Remander y an arbitrator. Reversed and Remandor an all-white jury American jurors Reversed and Remandor deference in

February Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
08-1322	Astrue v. Ratliff	Decided	CA8	2/22/10	6/14/10	Thomas	9-0	Reversed and Remande
olding: An ind	dividual who wins a case against the federal govern	ment and recovers attorney	y's fees can h	have those offset i	if that individual c	owes a debt to the	governme	ent.
08-974	Lewis v. City of Chicago	Decided	CA7	2/22/10	5/24/10	Scalia	9-0	Reversed and Remande
olding: Feder	al law sets a short deadline to file a "charge" with th t. A plaintiff who does not file a timely charge follow	e Equal Employment Opp wing the <i>adoption</i> of an all	oortunity Cor legedly discr	nmission to challe iminatory practice	enge discrimination e may still file a ti	on by an employer mely charge chall	r, which is lenging the	a prerequisite to later filing e application of the <i>practice</i>
08-1498	Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project	Decided	CA9	2/23/10	6/21/10	Roberts	6-3	Affirmed in Part, Revers in Part, and Remanded
	ederal material support statute is constitutional as ap as applied to these individuals and groups, the statu					provide to foreigr	n terrorist	organizations. The Court
08-1569	US v. O'Brien	Decided	CA1	2/23/10	5/24/10	Kennedy	9-0	Affirmed
08-1301	uestion of whether or not a firearm is a machine gur	Decided	CA7	2/24/10	6/1/10	Sotomayor	6-3	Reversed and Remande
	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A					to register with s		
eld that a defe 08-1341 olding: When efendant argue		ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for	CA2 that argume conduct that	2/24/10 nt is generally sult occurred before	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu	Breyer or review," which tte was enacted. T	7-1 is hard to he Supren	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error
eld that a defe 08-1341 olding: When efendant argue	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco c] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for	CA2 that argume conduct that	2/24/10 nt is generally sult occurred before	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu	Breyer or review," which tte was enacted. T	7-1 is hard to he Supren	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial.
eld that a defe 08-1341 Iolding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A indant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided	CA2 that argume r conduct that or public re CA6	register under the 2/24/10 ent is generally sul at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain errr the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy	7-1 is hard to the Supren of warrant	Reversed and Remandor prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remandor
eld that a defe 08-1341 folding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco of the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided	CA2 that argume r conduct that or public re CA6	register under the 2/24/10 ent is generally sul at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain errr the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor	7-1 is hard to the Supren of warrant	Reversed and Remanded prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remanded sk questions.
eld that a defe 08-1341 folding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470 folding: In ord 09-5327	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco of the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously" request cour Decided	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct that or public represent CA6 nsel. If a deformation CA11	register under the 2/24/10 Int is generally suld at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain errr the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 nains silent, police 6/14/10	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer	7-1 is hard to 'he Supren ot warrant 5-4 ntinue to as	Reversed and Remanded prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remanded sk questions.
eld that a defe 08-1341 Iolding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470 Iolding: In ord 09-5327 Iolding: The 1 08-1394	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and Skilling v. US	ORNA became law is not	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct that or public rep CA6 nsel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5	register under the 2/24/10 Int is generally sult to occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 mains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T d therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg	7-1 is hard to The Suprementation of the Suprementation 5-4 ntinue to as 7-2 9-0	Reversed and Remanded prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remanded sk questions. Reversed and Remanded Affirmed in Part, Reversed in Part, and Remanded
eld that a defe 08-1341 Iolding: When efendant argua id not "affec[t 08-1470 Iolding: In ord 09-5327 Iolding: The 1 08-1394 Iolding: (1) Pr pinion vacates	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco c] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously'' request court Decided Effective Death Penalty A Decided event Skilling from having	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct tha or public rep CA6 msel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5 g a fair trial.	register under the 2/24/10 ent is generally sul at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10 (2) The "honest s	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 mains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10 ervices" statute co	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg overs only bribery	7-1 is hard to bhard to bt 5-4 ntinue to at 7-2 9-0 and kickt	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remande sk questions. Reversed and Remande Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remande ack schemes. Part of the
eld that a defe 08-1341 folding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470 folding: In ord 09-5327 folding: The 1 08-1394 folding: (1) Pr pinion vacates	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco c] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and Skilling v. US re-trial publicity and community prejudice did not pr s the Fifth Circuit's ruling on Skilling's conspiracy of	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously'' request court Decided Effective Death Penalty A Decided event Skilling from having	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct tha or public rep CA6 msel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5 g a fair trial.	register under the 2/24/10 ent is generally sul at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10 (2) The "honest s	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 mains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10 ervices" statute co	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg overs only bribery	7-1 is hard to bhard to bt 5-4 ntinue to at 7-2 9-0 and kickt	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remande sk questions. Reversed and Remande Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remande back schemes. Part of the a fair trial before an imparti
eld that a defe 08-1341 folding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470 folding: In ord 09-5327 folding: The 1 08-1394 folding: (1) Pr pinion vacates Iry. 08-1521	deral Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and Skilling v. US re-trial publicity and community prejudice did not pr	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously" request court Decided Effective Death Penalty A Decided event Skilling from having conviction. In her dissent, Decided	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct tha or public rep CA6 nsel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5 g a fair trial. Justice Sotor	register under the 2/24/10 Int is generally sult at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10 (2) The "honest s mayor disagrees w	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain erre the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 nains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10 ervices" statute co with the Court's co	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg overs only bribery ponclusion that Ski	7-1 is hard to the Supren of warrant 5-4 ntinue to an 7-2 9-0 and kickt lling had a	Reversed and Remandor prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remandor sk questions. Reversed and Remandor Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remandor pack schemes. Part of the a fair trial before an imparti
eld that a defe 08-1341 Iolding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470 Iolding: In ord 09-5327 Iolding: The 1 08-1394 Iolding: (1) Pr pinion vacates Iry. 08-1521	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S u a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco c] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and Skilling v. US re-trial publicity and community prejudice did not pr s the Fifth Circuit's ruling on Skilling's conspiracy of	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously" request court Decided Effective Death Penalty A Decided event Skilling from having conviction. In her dissent, Decided	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct tha or public rep CA6 nsel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5 g a fair trial. Justice Sotor	register under the 2/24/10 Int is generally sult at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10 (2) The "honest s mayor disagrees w	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain erre the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 nains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10 ervices" statute co with the Court's co	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T ad therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg overs only bribery ponclusion that Ski	7-1 is hard to the Supren of warrant 5-4 ntinue to an 7-2 9-0 and kickt lling had a	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remande sk questions. Reversed and Remande Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remande pack schemes. Part of the a fair trial before an imparti Reversed and Remande
eld that a defe 08-1341 Iolding: When efendant argue id not "affec[t 08-1470 Iolding: In ord 09-5327 Iolding: The 1 08-1394 Iolding: (1) Pr pinion vacates Iry. 08-1521 Iolding: The co 08-1529	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S u a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco c] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his Miranda rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and Skilling v. US re-trial publicity and community prejudice did not pr s the Fifth Circuit's ruling on Skilling's conspiracy or McDonald v. City of Chicago onstitutional right to keep and bear arms extends to	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously'' request court Decided Effective Death Penalty A Decided event Skilling from having conviction. In her dissent, Decided state and local gun laws. Decided	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct tha or public rep CA6 nsel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5 g a fair trial. Justice Sotor CA7 CA9	register under the 2/24/10 int is generally sult at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10 (2) The "honest s mayor disagrees v 3/2/10	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 mains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10 ervices" statute co with the Court's co 6/28/10 5/3/10	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T d therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg overs only bribery onclusion that Ski Alito Sotomayor	7-1 is hard to is hard to Year and 5-4 ntinue to an 7-2 9-0 and kickt lling had a 5-4	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remande sk questions. Reversed and Remande Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remande ack schemes. Part of the
eld that a defe 08-1341 Iolding: When efendant argua id not "affec[t 08-1470 Iolding: In ord 09-5327 Iolding: The 1 08-1394 Iolding: (1) Pr pinion vacates Iry. 08-1521 Iolding: The ca 08-1529	ederal Sex Offender Registration and Notification A endant who committed a sex-related offense before S US v. Marcus a defendant raises an issue on appeal that he did no ed for the first time on appeal that he had been unco] the appellant's substantial rights" or "seriously aff Berghuis v. Thompkins ler to invoke his <i>Miranda</i> rights, a suspect must "una Holland v. Florida -year statute of limitations in the Antiterrorism and Skilling v. US re-trial publicity and community prejudice did not pr s the Fifth Circuit's ruling on Skilling's conspiracy c McDonald v. City of Chicago onstitutional right to keep and bear arms extends to	ORNA became law is not Decided t raise in the district court, nstitutionally convicted for ec[t] the fairness, integrity Decided ambiguously'' request court Decided Effective Death Penalty A Decided event Skilling from having conviction. In her dissent, Decided state and local gun laws. Decided	required to r CA2 that argume r conduct tha or public rep CA6 nsel. If a defe CA11 ct is subject CA5 g a fair trial. Justice Sotor CA7 CA9	register under the 2/24/10 int is generally sult at occurred before putation of judicia 3/1/10 endant simply ren 3/1/10 to equitable tollin 3/1/10 (2) The "honest s mayor disagrees v 3/2/10	statute. 5/24/10 bject to "plain error the criminal statu al proceedings" ar 6/1/10 mains silent, police 6/14/10 g in appropriate c 6/24/10 ervices" statute co with the Court's co 6/28/10 5/3/10	Breyer or review," which the was enacted. T d therefore did no Kennedy e officers may cor Breyer ases. Ginsburg overs only bribery onclusion that Ski Alito Sotomayor	7-1 is hard to is hard to Year and 5-4 ntinue to an 7-2 9-0 and kickt lling had a 5-4	Reversed and Remande prove. In this case, the ne Court held that this error a new trial. Reversed and Remande sk questions. Reversed and Remande Affirmed in Part, Reverse in Part, and Remande ack schemes. Part of the a fair trial before an imparti Reversed and Remande

March Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
08-998	Hamilton v. Lanning	Decided	CA10	3/22/10	6/7/10	Alito	8-1	Affirmed
	law requires that a debtor in a Chapter 13 bankruptcy pay her g approach and consider "known or virtually certain" events to				ors during the per	riod of her bankruj	ptcy plan.	A bankruptcy court may use
09-223	Levin v. Commerce Energy	Decided	CA6	3/22/10	6/1/10	Ginsburg	9-0	Reversed and Remandee
lolding: Under t ompetitor.	he doctrine of comity, a tax payer's lawsuit claiming discrimin	natory state tax	ation must p	proceed originally	in state court, eve	en when it is a req	uest to inc	rease the tax burden on a
08-1457	New Process Steel v. NLRB	Decided	CA7	3/23/10	6/17/10	Stevens	5-4	Reversed and Remande
08-1553	tional Labor Relations Board, a body that makes rulings on fec Kawasaki v. Regal-Beloit Corp. rmack Amendment does not apply to a shipment that originate	Decided	CA9	3/24/10	6/21/10	Kennedy	6-3	Reversed greement in Japan is bindin
09-158	Magwood v. Patterson	Decided	CA11	3/24/10	6/24/10	Thomas	5-4	Reversed and Remande
09-338	fendant's habeas application is not a "second or successive" pe Renico v. Lett	Decided	CA6	3/29/10	5/3/10	Roberts	6-3	Reversed and Remande
-	chigan Supreme Court decision in the case was "reasonable" u				-			
	Morrison v. National Australia Bank	Decided	CA2	3/29/10	6/24/10	Scalia	9-0	Affirmed
olding: The sta	tute in question does not provide a cause of action to foreigner	's who sue fore	eign and Am	erican defendants	for misconduct r	egarding securities	s trading o	n a foreign exchange.
09-6338	Dillon v. US	Decided	CA3	3/30/10	6/17/10	Sotomayor	7-1	Affirmed
olding: When r	esentencing a defendant after an amendment to the federal sen	tencing guidel	lines, United	States v. Booker	does not require t	hat a judge treat th	ne sentenci	ing guidelines as advisory.
09-5201	Barber v. Thomas	Decided	CA9	3/30/10	6/7/10	Breyer	6-3	Affirmed
olding: The Bu	reau of Prisons was correct to award good-time credits to priso	oners only afte	r time they h	have served in pris	son rather than ba	sed on the entire l	ength of th	neir sentence.
09-60	Carachuri-Rosendo v. Holder	Decided	CA5	3/31/10	6/14/10	Stevens	9-0	Reversed
olding: Second rior conviction.	or subsequent crimes of possession of drugs are not aggravate	ed felonies und	ler federal in	nmigration law w	hen the underlyin	g state conviction	is not base	d on the fact that there was
08-6261	Robertson v. US ex rel. Watson	DIG	ST-DC	3/31/10	5/24/10	Per Curiam	5-4	-
ismissed as Imp	providently granted.							

April Argument Session

Case No.	Case	Status	Court	Argued	Opinion	Author	Vote	Judgment
08-1371	Christian Legal Society v. Martinez	Decided	CA9	4/19/10	6/28/10	Ginsburg	5-4	Affirmed and Remanded
	ic law school's policy requiring student groups seeking official							
	ore beliefs about religion and sexual orientation, is a reasonable	, viewpoint-n	eutral condi	tion on access to a	limited public for	rum that does not	impair the	e groups' First Amendment
ights to free spe	eech, expressive association, and free exercise of religion.							
08-1332	City of Ontario v. Quon	Decided	CA9	4/19/10	6/17/10	Kennedv	9-0	Reversed and Remande
	arch of the police officer's text messages to his colleagues and	o a woman w	rith whom he	e was having an af	fair was reasonab	le. Therefore the c	officer's F	ourth Amendment rights we
ot violated.				Ū.				0
00.275		D 11	C + 10	4/20/110	(11410	D		1.00 1
	Dolan v. US	Decided	CA10	4/20/10	6/14/10	Breyer	5-4	Affirmed
	encing court that misses the 90-day deadline nonetheless retains	the power to	order restitu	ition—at least wh	ere, as nere, that c	ourt made clear p	for to the	deadline s expiration that if
ould order rest	itution, leaving open (for more than 90 days) only the amount.							
09-337	Krupski v. Costa Crociere	Decided	CA11	4/21/10	6/7/10	Sotomayor	6-3	Reversed and Remande
	termination of whether a party who makes a mistake in identify							
			purty being	sucu may sum me	nei ciann ni a tim	cry manner uepen	us upon w	that the party to be added it
ne case knew or	r should have known about the dispute.			-				
ne case knew or 09-497	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson	Decided	CA9	4/26/10	6/21/10	Scalia	5-4	Reversed
ne case knew or 09-497 Iolding: Under	r should have known about the dispute. Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate indicate the second s	Decided ludes an agre	CA9 cement that t	4/26/10 he arbitrator will of	6/21/10 letermine the enfo	Scalia rceability agreem	5-4 ent, if a pa	Reversed arty challenges specifically
ne case knew or 09-497 Iolding: Under	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson	Decided ludes an agre	CA9 cement that t	4/26/10 he arbitrator will of	6/21/10 letermine the enfo	Scalia rceability agreem	5-4 ent, if a pa	Reversed arty challenges specifically
he case knew or 09-497 Iolding: Under he enforceabilit	r should have known about the dispute. Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate indicate the second s	Decided ludes an agre	CA9 cement that t	4/26/10 he arbitrator will of	6/21/10 letermine the enfo	Scalia rceability agreem	5-4 ent, if a pa	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator.
ne case knew or 09-497 Iolding: Under ne enforceability 09-448	r should have known about the dispute. Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate ind y of that particular agreement, the district court considers the classical distribution d	Decided cludes an agre nallenge, but i Decided	CA9 eement that t f a party cha CA4	4/26/10 he arbitrator will d allenges the enforce 4/26/10	6/21/10 letermine the enforeability of the agr 5/24/10	Scalia orceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas	5-4 ent, if a pa e, the chal 9-0	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remande
he case knew or 09-497 Holding: Under he enforceabilit 09-448 Holding: A fee c	 r should have known about the dispute. Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate ind y of that particular agreement, the district court considers the cl Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. 	Decided cludes an agre nallenge, but i Decided	CA9 eement that t f a party cha CA4	4/26/10 he arbitrator will d allenges the enforce 4/26/10	6/21/10 letermine the enforeability of the agr 5/24/10	Scalia orceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas	5-4 ent, if a pa e, the chal 9-0	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remande
he case knew or 09-497 Holding: Under he enforceability 09-448 Holding: A fee c n the merits."	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate index of that particular agreement, the district court considers the clean structure of the s	Decided ludes an agre nallenge, but i Decided A § 502(g)(1)	CA9 ement that t f a party cha CA4 . A district c	4/26/10 he arbitrator will o allenges the enforce 4/26/10 court may award for	6/21/10 letermine the enfor eability of the agr 5/24/10 ceds and costs as l	Scalia orceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas ong as a claimant	5-4 ent, if a pa e, the chal 9-0 has achier	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remande ved "some degree of succes
he case knew or 09-497 Holding: Under he enforceability 09-448 Holding: A fee c n the merits." 09-475	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate in y of that particular agreement, the district court considers the cl Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. claimant need not prevail to recover attorney's fees under ERIS. Monsanto v. Geertson Seed Farms	Decided ludes an agre nallenge, but i Decided A § 502(g)(1) Decided	CA9 ement that t f a party cha CA4 . A district c	4/26/10 he arbitrator will d allenges the enforce 4/26/10 court may award fe 4/27/10	6/21/10 letermine the enfo eability of the agr 5/24/10 eeds and costs as l 6/21/10	Scalia rceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas ong as a claimant Alito	5-4 ent, if a pa e, the chal 9-0 has achie	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remande wed "some degree of succes Reversed and Remande
he case knew or 09-497 Holding: Under he enforceability 09-448 Holding: A fee c in the merits." 09-475	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate index of that particular agreement, the district court considers the clean structure of the s	Decided ludes an agre nallenge, but i Decided A § 502(g)(1) Decided	CA9 ement that t f a party cha CA4 . A district c	4/26/10 he arbitrator will d allenges the enforce 4/26/10 court may award fe 4/27/10	6/21/10 letermine the enfo eability of the agr 5/24/10 eeds and costs as l 6/21/10	Scalia rceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas ong as a claimant Alito	5-4 ent, if a pa e, the chal 9-0 has achie	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remander ved "some degree of success Reversed and Remander
ne case knew or 09-497 Iolding: Under ne enforceability 09-448 Iolding: A fee c n the merits." 09-475 Iolding: The res	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate in y of that particular agreement, the district court considers the cl Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. claimant need not prevail to recover attorney's fees under ERIS. Monsanto v. Geertson Seed Farms	Decided ludes an agre nallenge, but i Decided A § 502(g)(1) Decided	CA9 ement that t f a party cha CA4 . A district c	4/26/10 he arbitrator will d allenges the enforce 4/26/10 court may award fe 4/27/10	6/21/10 letermine the enfo eability of the agr 5/24/10 eeds and costs as l 6/21/10	Scalia rceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas ong as a claimant Alito	5-4 ent, if a pa e, the chal 9-0 has achie	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remande wed "some degree of succes Reversed and Remande
ne case knew or 09-497 Iolding: Under ne enforceability 09-448 Iolding: A fee c n the merits." 09-475 Iolding: The res 09-559	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate indy of that particular agreement, the district court considers the cl Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. claimant need not prevail to recover attorney's fees under ERIS Monsanto v. Geertson Seed Farms spondents do have standing in the case, and the district court ab Doe #1 v. Reed	Decided cludes an agre- nallenge, but i Decided A § 502(g)(1) Decided used its discret	CA9 ement that t f a party cha CA4 . A district c CA9 etion in enjo	4/26/10 he arbitrator will dallenges the enforce 4/26/10 court may award for 4/27/10 ining the partial dallenge 4/28/10	6/21/10 letermine the enforeability of the agr 5/24/10 ereds and costs as 1 6/21/10 eregulation and pr 6/24/10	Scalia rceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas ong as a claimant Alito ohibiting the plan Roberts	5-4 ent, if a pae, the chal 9-0 has achie 7-1 ting of sec 8-1	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remande ved "some degree of succes Reversed and Remande xd. Affirmed
e case knew or 09-497 olding: Under : e enforceability 09-448 olding: A fee c n the merits." 09-475 olding: The res 09-559 olding: Disclos	Rent-A-Center v. Jackson the Federal Arbitration Act, where an agreement to arbitrate indy of that particular agreement, the district court considers the cl Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. claimant need not prevail to recover attorney's fees under ERIS. Monsanto v. Geertson Seed Farms spondents do have standing in the case, and the district court ab	Decided cludes an agre- nallenge, but i Decided A § 502(g)(1) Decided used its discre- t, as a general	CA9 ement that t f a party cha CA4 . A district c CA9 etion in enjo CA9 I matter, vio	4/26/10 he arbitrator will dallenges the enforce 4/26/10 court may award for 4/27/10 ining the partial data 4/28/10 late the First American the	6/21/10 letermine the enforeability of the agr 5/24/10 ereds and costs as 1 6/21/10 eregulation and pr 6/24/10 ndment. However	Scalia rceability agreem eement as a whole Thomas ong as a claimant Alito ohibiting the plan Roberts r, compelled discle	5-4 ent, if a pae, the chal 9-0 has achie 7-1 ting of sec 8-1 osure of th	Reversed arty challenges specifically lenge is for the arbitrator. Reversed and Remandor ved "some degree of succes Reversed and Remandor ed. Affirmed nis information is subject to

Summary Reversals, Cases Decided without Oral Argument, and Certified Questions

Case No.	Case	Vote	Court	Opinion	Judgment	
08-10495	Corcoran v. Levenhagen	9-0	CA7	10/20/09	Vacated and Remanded	Summary Reversal
olding: The Se	eventh Circuit erred in rejecting one part of the district	t court's ruling and remandi	ng the case wit	hout addressing	other parts of the ruling.	
09-144	Bobby v. Van Hook	9-0	CA6	11/9/09	Reversed and Remanded	Summary Reversal
olding: Defend	dant's counsel met a minimum level of competence ur	nder the correct standard.				· · ·
08-1263	Wong v. Belmontes	9-0	CA9	11/16/09	Reversed and Remanded	Summary Reversal
olding: A cour	rt must consider both mitigating and aggravating evide	ence to prove prejudice whe	n a defendant a	ttempts to show	that counsel was ineffective un	der Strickland v. Washington.
08-10537	Porter v. McCollum	9-0	CA11	11/30/09	Reversed and Remanded	Summary Reversal
olding: A defe	endant can show prejudice if his counsel fails to preser	nt important mitigating evid	ence such as m	ental health, fan	nily background, or military serv	vice.
09-91	Michigan v. Fisher	7-2	ST-NV	12/7/09	Reversed and Remanded	Summary Reversal
	officers satisfied the requirements of the emergency a	aid exception to the Fourth A	Amendment's v	varrant requirem	ent when they entered a home a	after they saw that the defendant had
riously cut his	s hand and was threatening others within his home.					
08-559	McDaniel v. Brown	9-0	CA9	1/11/10	Reversed and Remanded	Decided Without Oral Argume
olding: A Fede	eral Trial Court erred in using a record developed 11 y	years after trial to grant a wi	it of habeas con	rpus under Jacks	son v. Virginia.	
						~ ~ ~
09-5270	Presley v Georgia	7-2	ST-GA	1/19/10	Reversed and Remanded	Summary Reversal
09-5270 Jolding: The Si	Presley v. Georgia	voir dire process When or	e party request	1/19/10	Reversed and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the
Iolding: The Si	Presley v. Georgia ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives.					
Holding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall	<i>voir dire</i> process. When or 5-4	e party request	s closing the pro	Vacated and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal
Holding: The Si ppposing party s 09-5731 Holding: The ca	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall ase is remanded for further consideration because the l	<i>voir dire</i> process. When or 5-4	e party request	s closing the pro	Vacated and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal
Holding: The Si opposing party s 09-5731 Holding: The ca evidentiary hear	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings.	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t	veedings, a court should consid Vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for
Iolding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 Iolding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall ase is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0	CA11 ely alter its dec CA4	s closing the pro 1/19/10 cision based on t 2/22/10	Vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal
olding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 folding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings.	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0	CA11 ely alter its dec CA4	s closing the pro 1/19/10 cision based on t 2/22/10	Vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for
Inding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 Inding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 Inding: When 09-273	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal
Inding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 Inding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 Inding: When 09-273	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal
Inding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 Inding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 Inding: When 09-273	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Summary Reversal
Iolding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 Iolding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 Iolding: When 09-273 Iolding: Batson 08-1234	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall ase is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes n v. Kentucky and Snyder v. Louisiana do not require a	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature o 9-0 1 judge to reject a demeanor 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5 -based perempt CADC	s closing the pro 1/19/10 cision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10 cory challenge be 3/1/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded e cause she did not personally ob	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Summary Reversal
lolding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 lolding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 lolding: When 09-273 lolding: Batson 08-1234 lolding: The jud 09-8852	 ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes v. Kentucky and Snyder v. Louisiana do not require a Kiyemba v. Obama dgement of the lower court is vacated because recent of the lower court is vacated because recent of the lower set of the lower court is vacated because recent of the lower set of the lower set	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0 a judge to reject a demeanor 9-0 developments have altered to 7-2	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5 based perempt CADC he legal issue p CA11	s closing the pro 1/19/10 cision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10 cory challenge ba 3/1/10 oresented. 5/24/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded ecause she did not personally ob Vacated and Remanded Wacated and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Decided Without Oral Argume Summary Reversal
lolding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 lolding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 lolding: When 09-273 lolding: Batson 08-1234 lolding: The jud 09-8852	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall ase is remanded for further consideration because the I rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes a v. Kentucky and Snyder v. Louisiana do not require a Kiyemba v. Obama dgement of the lower court is vacated because recent of	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0 a judge to reject a demeanor 9-0 developments have altered to 7-2	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5 based perempt CADC he legal issue p CA11	s closing the pro 1/19/10 cision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10 cory challenge ba 3/1/10 oresented. 5/24/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded ecause she did not personally ob Vacated and Remanded Wacated and Remanded	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Serve the juror's behavior. Decided Without Oral Argume Summary Reversal
olding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 folding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 folding: When 09-273 folding: Batson 08-1234 folding: The jud 09-8852 folding: When 09-940	ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall ase is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes v. Kentucky and Snyder v. Louisiana do not require a Kiyemba v. Obama dgement of the lower court is vacated because recent of a state court presumes that certain key facts are correct United States v. Juvenile Male	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0 a judge to reject a demeanor 9-0 developments have altered to 7-2 ct, a court of appeals should 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5 based perempt cADC he legal issue p CA11 consider all po CA9	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10 tory challenge be 3/1/10 oresented. 5/24/10 sssible exception 6/7/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded ecause she did not personally ob Vacated and Remanded exated and Remanded s to that presumption of factual	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Summary Reversal pserve the juror's behavior. Decided Without Oral Argume Summary Reversal correctness. Certified Question
Iolding: The Si pposing party s 09-5731 Iolding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 Iolding: When 09-273 Iolding: Batson 08-1234 Iolding: The jud 09-8852 Iolding: The jud 09-940 Iolding: In orde	 ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the l rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court set of the lower of the lower court is vacated because recent of a state court presumes that certain key facts are correct. 	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0 a judge to reject a demeanor 9-0 developments have altered to 7-2 ct, a court of appeals should 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5 based perempt cADC he legal issue p CA11 consider all po CA9	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10 tory challenge be 3/1/10 oresented. 5/24/10 sssible exception 6/7/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded ecause she did not personally ob Vacated and Remanded exated and Remanded s to that presumption of factual	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Summary Reversal oserve the juror's behavior. Decided Without Oral Argume Summary Reversal correctness. Certified Question
Holding: The Si poposing party s 09-5731 Holding: The ca videntiary hear 08-10914 Holding: When 09-273 Holding: Batson 08-1234 Holding: The jud 09-8852 Holding: The jud 09-940 Holding: In orde	 ixth Amendment's right to a public trial extends to the suggests specific alternatives. Wellons v. Hall use is remanded for further consideration because the I rings. Wilkins v. Gaddy deciding an prisoner's excessive force claim, a court s Thaler v. Haynes n v. Kentucky and Snyder v. Louisiana do not require a Kiyemba v. Obama dgement of the lower court is vacated because recent of Jefferson v. Upton a state court presumes that certain key facts are correct United States v. Juvenile Male er to evaluate whether or not a case presents a justicial 	voir dire process. When or 5-4 lower court could substantiv 9-0 should consider the nature of 9-0 a judge to reject a demeanor 9-0 developments have altered to 7-2 ct, a court of appeals should 9-0	e party request CA11 ely alter its dec CA4 f the force, not CA5 based perempt cADC he legal issue p CA11 consider all po CA9	s closing the pro 1/19/10 ision based on t 2/22/10 the extent of the 2/22/10 tory challenge be 3/1/10 oresented. 5/24/10 sssible exception 6/7/10	vacated and Remanded he Supreme Court's decision in Reversed and Remanded e resulting injury. Reversed and Remanded ecause she did not personally ob Vacated and Remanded exated and Remanded s to that presumption of factual	er alternatives whether or not the Summary Reversal Cone v. Bell on the standards for Summary Reversal Summary Reversal Summary Reversal oserve the juror's behavior. Decided Without Oral Argument Summary Reversal correctness. Certified Question