Decisions by Final Vote

9-0 (or Unanimous)	8-1 (or 7-1)		7-2		6-3		5-4
32 (49%)*	5 (8%)		13 (20%)		6 (9%)		9 (14%)*
Corcoran v. Levenhagen (PC)	NRG v. Maine Public Utilities		Michigan v. Fisher (PC)		Hemi Group v. NYC	(5-3)	Wellons v. Hall (PC)
Bobby v. Van Hook (PC)	Alvarez v. Smith		Bloate v. United States		Renico v. Lett	S.	Carolina v. N. Carolina
Wong v. Belmontes (PC)	United States v. Stevens		Johnson v. United States		Abbott v. Abbott	t	Shady Grove Ortho.
Porter v. McCollum (PC)	United States v. Marcus (7-1)		Padilla v. Kentucky		Graham v. Sulliva	an Co	onkright v. Fromm. (5-3)
Beard v. Kindler (8-0)	Hamilton v. Lanning		Wood v. Allen		Carr v. United Stat	tes	Perdue v. Kenny A.
Union Pacif. RR v. Loc. Enginrs			Florida v. Powell		Barber v. Thoma	S	Stolt-Nielson (5-3)
Mohawk v. Carpenter			Graham Cty v. U.S./Wilson				Salazar v. Buono
McDaniel v. Brown (PC)			Presley v. Georgia (PC)			I	Berghuis v. Thompkins
Smith v. Spisak			Jerman v. Carlisle				Dolan v. United States
Kucana v. Holder			U.S. v. Comstock		* Citizens United is included in		
Wilkins v. Gaddy (PC)			Jefferson v. Upton (PC)			the	OT08 total.
Thaler v. Haynes (PC)			Alabama v. N. Carolina				
Hertz Corp. v. Friend			Holland v. F	lorida			
Maryland v. Shatzer							
Kiyemba v. Obama (PC)							
Reed Elsevier v. Muchnick (8-0)						Dismisse	
Mac's Shell Service v. Shell	Vegeted After Angureent					`	settled before argument)
Milavetz v. United States		Vacated After Argument Briscoe v. Virginia			Pottawattamie County v. McGhee (settled after argument)		
United Student Aid v. Espinosa		Brisco	e v. Virginia				ovidently granted)
Berghuis v. Smith					Robertson v. U.S. ex	x rel. Watson	(improvidently granted)
Jones v. Harris Associates							
Merck & Co. v. Reynolds	Past Terms						
Hui v. Castaneda							
American Needle v. NFL			9-0 (unan.)	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4
Lewis v. Chicago			`````				
United States v. O'Brien		Final OT08	26 (33%)	4 (5%)	13 (16%)	13 (16%)) 24 (30%)
Hardt v. Standard Reliance							
Samantar v. Yousuf		Final OT07	21 (30%)	6 (8%)	20 (28%)	10 (14%)) 14 (20%)
Levin v. Commerce Energy			()	- ()	- ()	- (, ()
Krupski v. Costa Crociere							
Astrue v. Ratliff		Final OT06	28 (38%)	9 (12%)	9 (12%)	3 (4%)	24 (33%)

†Conkright v. Frommert and *Stolt-Nielson S.A. v. AnimalFeeds International* are both classified as 5-4 because it seems very likely that, had all nine Justices participated, the vote would have split that way.