SCOTUSblog PRELIMINARY Stats OT09 – 6.11.10

Decisions by Final Vote

9-0 (or Unanimous)	8-1 (or 7-1)	7-2	6-3	5-4
30 (49%)*	5 (8%)	12 (20%)	6 (10%)	8 (13%)*
Corcoran v. Levenhagen (PC)	NRG v. Maine Public Utilities	Michigan v. Fisher (PC)	Hemi Group v. NYC (5-3)	Wellons v. Hall (PC)
Bobby v. Van Hook (PC)	Alvarez v. Smith	Bloate v. United States	Renico v. Lett	S. Carolina v. N. Carolina
Wong v. Belmontes (PC)	United States v. Stevens	Johnson v. United States	Abbott v. Abbott	Shady Grove Ortho. v.
Porter v. McCollum (PC)	United States v. Marcus (7-1)	Padilla v. Kentucky	Graham v. Sullivan	Conkright v. Fromm. (5-3)
Beard v. Kindler (8-0)	Hamilton v. Lanning	Wood v. Allen	Carr v. United States	Perdue v. Kenny A.
Union Pacif. RR v. Loc. Enginrs		Florida v. Powell	Barber v. Thomas	Stolt-Nielson (5-3)
Mohawk v. Carpenter		Graham Cty v. U.S./Wilson		Salazar v. Buono
McDaniel v. Brown (PC)		Presley v. Georgia (PC)		Berghuis v. Thompkins
Smith v. Spisak		Jerman v. Carlisle		* Citizens United is included in
Kucana v. Holder		U.S. v. Comstock		the OT08 total.
Wilkins v. Gaddy (PC)		Jefferson v. Upton (PC)		
Thaler v. Haynes (PC)		Alabama v. N. Carolina		
Hertz Corp. v. Friend				
Maryland v. Shatzer			Diam	nissed
Kiyemba v. Obama (PC)			·	
Reed Elsevier v. Muchnick (8-0)	Vacated After Argument		Health Care Service v. Pollitt (settled before argument)	
Mac's Shell Service v. Shell		. Virginia	Pottawattamie County v. McGhee (settled after argument)	
Milavetz v. United States			Sullivan v. Florida (improvidently granted) Robertson v. U.S. ex rel. Watson (improvidently granted)	

Sullivan v. Florida (improvidently granted) Robertson v. U.S. ex rel. Watson (improvidently granted)

Past Terms

	9-0 (unan.)	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4
Final OT08	26 (33%)	4 (5%)	13 (16%)	13 (16%)	24 (30%)
Final OT07	21 (30%)	6 (8%)	20 (28%)	10 (14%)	14 (20%)
Final OT06	28 (38%)	9 (12%)	9 (12%)	3 (4%)	24 (33%)

[†]Conkright v. Frommert and Stolt-Nielson S.A. v. AnimalFeeds International are both classified as 5-4 because it seems very likely that, had all nine Justices participated, the vote would have split that way.

United Student Aid v. Espinosa Berghuis v. Smith Jones v. Harris Associates

Merck & Co. v. Reynolds Hui v. Castaneda American Needle v. NFL Lewis v. Chicago United States v. O'Brien Hardt v. Standard Reliance Samantar v. Yousuf Levin v. Commerce Energy Krupski v. Costa Crociere