10.

Case Name:

The People of the State of New York v. Manny Morales a.k.a. Joey

Hernandez, Joseph Pacheco, and Eduardo Pacheco

Indictment No:

4399/82

Judge:

Hon. Alfred H. Kleiman (retired)
Then-Acting Justice, Supreme Court
c/o Administrative Judge's Office

Juanita Newton 100 Centre Street

New York, New York 10013

(212) 374-4972

Adversaries:

Ira I. Van Leer (deceased)

(Associates present at portions of the trial: Valerie Van Leer-Greenberg

and Howard Greenberg)
Van Leer and Greenberg

Attorneys for defendant Manny Morales a.k.a. Joey Hernandez

132 Nassau Street, Suite 523 New York, New York 10038

(212) 962-1596

Lawrence Rampulla, Esq.

Attorney for defendant Edwardo Pacheco

2040 Victory Blvd.

Staten Island, New York 10314

(718) 761-3333

Stephen Goldenberg, Esq.

Attorney for defendant Joseph Pacheco

277 Broadway, Suite 1400 New York, New York 10007

(212) 346-0600

Dates of Trial:

March 25, 1983 to May 12, 1983

Case Description:

This multiple-defendant case involved a Manhattan housing project shooting between rival family groups. I was sole counsel in this action on behalf of the government. Prior to trial, I conducted various hearings opposing defense motions to suppress statements and identifications. This

lengthy trial involved witnesses with significant credibility issues. The jury convicted one of the three defendants who was sentenced to 3 to 6 years for Criminal Possession of a Weapon in the Third Degree. The conviction was affirmed on appeal. See People v. Pacheco, 70 N.Y.2d 802, 522 N.Y.S.2d 120 (Ct. App. 1987).

Additional Question under Item 18: In addition, if the majority of cases you list in response to this question are older than five years, provide the name, address and phone number for 10-12 members of the legal community who have had recent contact with you, even if the contact was only an appearance before you as a judge.

I have interpreted this question to be seeking a list of individuals who are familiar with my judicial work because they are knowledgeable about some of my cases or opinions, or because they have appeared before me. If you seek only individuals who have tried cases or made other substantive appearances before me, please advise me. I list these individuals in alphabetical order.

- Martin J. Auerbach, Esq.
   Dormand, Mensch, Mandelstan, Schaeffer 747 Third Avenue
   New York, New York 10017 (212) 759-3300
- The Hon. Miriam G. Cedarbaum United States District Court Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 1330 New York, New York 10007 (212) 805-0198
- Justin N. Feldman, Esq. Kromish, Lieb, Weiner & Hellman 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 47th Floor New York, New York 10036-7798 (212) 479-6210

- Leonard F. Joy, Esq.
   Attorney-in-Charge
   Legal Aid Society, Federal Defender Division
   52 Duane Street
   New York, New York 10007
   (212) 285-2830
- John Kidd, Esq.
   Rogers & Wells
   200 Park Avenue
   New York, New York 10166-0153
   (212) 878-8000
- The Hon. John G. Koeltl
   United States District Court Judge
   Southern District of New York
   500 Pearl Street, Room 1030
   New York, New York 10007
   (212) 805-0222
- Sara Moss, Esq.
   Vice-President and General Counsel
   Pitney Bowes
   1 Elmcroft Road
   Stamford, Connecticut 06926
   (203) 351-7924
- John S. Siffert, Esq. Lankler, Siffert & Wohl
   500 Fifth Avenue, 33rd Floor
   New York, New York 10110
   (212) 921-8399
- 9. Gerard Walperin, Esq. Rosenman & Colin 575 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022 (212) 940-7100

- Mary Jo White, Esq.
   United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York U.S. Courthouse Annex
   One St. Andrew's Plaza
   New York, New York 10007
   (212) 791-0056
- 19. Legal Activities: Describe the most significant legal activities you have pursued, including significant litigation which did not progress to trial or legal matters that did not involve litigation. Describe the nature of your participation in this question, please omit any information protected by the attorney-client privilege (unless the privilege has been waived).

In the last five years as a judge, my legal activities have spanned the gamut of federal jurisdiction. As part of my daily work, I have addressed many of the complex legal questions of our time in fields as diverse as the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, antitrust, securities, habeas corpus, immigration, tax, intellectual property, ERISA, employment discrimination, and many other areas of law. The numerous opinions I have cited in Question Number 15 describe in detail many of these significant cases.

A great part of my litigation work while in private practice involved pre-trial and discovery proceedings for cases which were typically settled before trial. I conducted a number of preliminary injunction hearings in trademark and copyright cases, and post-motion hearings before magistrate judges on a variety of issues. My work also involved rendering advise to clients on a wide variety of legal issues, including, but not limited to, product liability, warranty, antitrust, securities, environmental, banking, real estate, patents, employment, partnership, joint venture and shareholder laws; customs, automobile and joint tire regulations; and franchising and licensing matters. I, moreover, conducted over fifteen arbitration hearings involving, predominantly, export grain commodity trading on behalf of foreign buyers but also hearings involving banking, partnership, tire, and fashion industry disputes.

Finally, in addition to my work in establishing a national anti-counterfeiting program for Fendi S.a.s. Paola Fendi e Sorelle, I participated, on behalf of Fendi, in establishing a Task Force of prominent trademark owners to change New York State's anti-counterfeiting criminal statutes. I also supervised and participated in the national dealers and customer warranty relations programs for Ferrari North America, a division of Fiat Auto USA, Inc.

# II. FINANCIAL DATA AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST (PUBLIC)

List sources, amounts and dates of all anticipated receipts from deferred income
arrangements, stock, options, uncompleted contracts and other future benefits which you
expect to derive from previous business relationships, professional services, firm
memberships, former employers, clients, or customers. Please describe the arrangements
you have made to be compensated in the future for any financial or business interest.

### None.

Explain how you will resolve any potential conflict of interest, including the procedure
you will follow in determining these areas of concern. Identify the categories of litigation
and financial arrangements that are likely to present potential conflicts-of-interest during
your initial service in the position to which you have been nominated.

Because my former firm, Pavia & Harcourt, advises me on personal matters, I will continue to recuse myself from any matter in which my form firm or its clients, or a former client with whom I worked are involved. Similarly, I will continue to recuse myself from hearing any matter involving an issue in which I participated while a member of the Board of Directors of the non-profit organizations described in Part III, Question 1. I will further recuse myself from any matter involving a client or associate of my husband-to-be. In all matters, I will follow the dictates of 28 U.S.C. § 455 and the Code of Judicial Conduct.

 Do you have any plans, commitments, or agreements to pursue outside employment, with or without compensation, during your service with the court? If so, explain.

## No.

4. List sources and amounts of all income received during the calendar year preceding your nomination and for the current calendar year, including all salaries, fees, dividends, interest, gifts, rents, royalties, patents, honoraria, and other items exceeding \$500 or more. (If you prefer to do so, copies of the financial disclosure report, required by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, may be substituted here.)

	1996	1997
Salary - U.S.D.J.	\$133,600	\$66,800 to 5/31/97
Interest - Citibank Savings Acct.	\$ 912	\$ 373 to 6/1/97
Rent from Kings Co. Coop [\$1100 a month]	\$ 13,200	\$ 6600 to 6/1/97

My Financial Disclosure Report, A10, is attached.

 Please complete the attached financial net worth statement in detail. (Add schedules as called for.)

My Net Worth Statement and Schedule is attached.

6. Have you ever held a position or played a role in a political campaign? If so, please identify the particulars of the campaign, including the candidate, dates of the campaign, your title and responsibilities.

No.

# III. GENERAL (PUBLIC)

 An ethical consideration under Canon 2 of the American Bar Association's Code of Professional Responsibility calls for "every lawyer, regardless of professional prominence or professional workload, to find some time to participate in serving the disadvantaged." Describe what you have done to fulfill these responsibilities, listing specific instances and the amount of time devoted to each.

Before my appointment as a judge, all of the non-profit organizations with which I had been affiliated served the disadvantaged either directly or through projects I had participated in developing. The Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund, for example, promotes, through legal and educational activities, the civil and human rights of disadvantaged Hispanics. I had served, at various times, as the First Vice President of the Board of Directors of the Fund and as Chairperson of its Litigation and Education Committees.

The State of New York Mortgage Agency ("SONYMA") structures affordable housing programs for residents of the State of New York. During my service on its Board of Directors, SONYMA, among many other projects, implemented special mortgage programs for low-income families to purchase homes.

I was also a member, in 1988, of the Selection Committee for the Stanley D. Heckman Educational Trust which granted college scholarships to minorities at 3 first generation immigrants. I had, moreover, served, in 1990-1991, as a member of New York State's Panel on Inter-Group Relations. The Report of that Panel is attached.

Finally, I had been a member of the New York City Campaign Finance Board from its inception in 1988 until 1992. This Board distributes public funds to candidates for certain elective positions in New York City when such candidates agree to limit the amount of the contributions they will accept, and expenditures they will make, during campaigns.

The time I devoted to my service to these assorted organizations varied through the years but it was never less than two hours a week and had been over eight hours a week during certain periods. I devoted an average of approximately six hours a week cumulatively to the various non-profit organizations of which I was a member.

The Code of Judicial Conduct limits my ability to provide legal service to the disadvantaged. While a judge, I nevertheless contribute my time as permitted by law to bar and law school activities. I have served as an honorary member of the Public Service Committee of the Federal Bar Council. I also serve on the selection committees for the Root-Tilden-Snow Scholarship granted to selected New York University Law School students interested in public service and the Kirkland and Ellis New York Public Service Fellowship granted to a Columbia Law School graduate to support a year's employment in public service. I serve on moot court panels and in trial advocacy courses at local law schools and for the office of the District Attorney of New York County; I also speak regularly at bar association functions on issues such as judicial clerkships for minority students and women in the law. Finally, I have lectured about trial advocacy skills at the Office of the Attorney General for the State of New York. It is difficult to quantify the time I spend on these activities because I participate in functions as my schedule permits. I estimate that I attend at least one community service function a month, and often twice a month.

2. The American Bar Association's Commentary to its Code of Judicial Conduct states that it is inappropriate for a judge to hold membership in any organization that invidiously discriminates on the basis of race, sex, or religion. Do you currently belong, or have you belonged, to any organization which discriminates -- through either formal membership requirements or the practical implementation of membership policies? If so, list, with dates of membership. What you have done to try to change these policies?

No.

 Is there a selection commission in your jurisdiction to recommend candidates for nomination to the federal courts? If so, did it recommend your nomination? Please describe your experience in the entire judicial selection process, from beginning to end (including the circumstances which led to your nomination and interview in which you participated).

I am not aware of any selection commission which recommended me for this Circuit Court nomination. I was interviewed by the Office of the Counsel to the President in or about March of 1996 and again in March of 1997. Thereafter, the American Bar Association and the Federal Bureau of Investigations interviewed me. The President's nomination followed.

4. Has anyone involved in the process of selecting you as a judicial nominee discussed with you any specific case, legal issue or question in a manner that could reasonably be interpreted as asking how you would rule on such case, issue or question? If so, please explain fully.

No.

5. Please discuss your views on the following criticism involving "judicial activism."

The role of the Federal judiciary within the Federal government, and within society generally, has become the subject of increasing controversy in recent years. It has become the target of both popular and academic criticism that alleges that the judicial branch has usurped many of the prerogatives of other branches and levels of government.

Some of the characteristics of this "judicial activism" have been said to include:

- A tendency by the judiciary toward problem-solution rather than grievanceresolution;
- A tendency by the judiciary to employ the individual plaintiff as a vehicle for the imposition of far-reaching orders extending to broad classes of individuals;
- A tendency by the judiciary to impose broad, affirmative duties upon governments and society;
- A tendency by the judiciary toward loosening jurisdictional requirements such as standing and ripeness; and
- e. A tendency by the judiciary to impose itself upon other institutions in the manner of an administrator with continuing oversight responsibilities.

At the time I was nominated as a district court judge, I answered this question as follows:

"Our Constitution vests the right to make and administer laws in the legislative and executive branches of our government. Judges impermissibly encroach upon that right by rendering decisions that loosen jurisdictional requirements outside of the scope of established precedents and by fashioning remedies aimed at including parties not before the court to resolve broad societal problems.

Judges must provide fair and meaningful remedies for violations of constitutional and statutory rights to the parties before a court. Doing so can, at times, affect broad classes of individuals, may place affirmative burdens on governments and society and may require some administrative oversight functions by a court.

A judge's decision should not, however, start from or look to these effects as an end result. Instead, because judicial power is limited by Article III of the Constitution, judges should seek only to resolve the specific grievance, ripe for resolution, of the parties before the court and within the law as written and interpreted in precedents. Intrusion by a judge upon the functions of the other branches of government should only be done as a last resort and limitedly."

My service as a judge has only reinforced the importance of these principles. Finding and maintaining a proper balance in protecting the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals versus protecting the interest of government, financial and otherwise, is very difficult. Judges must be extraordinarily sensitive to the impact of their decisions and function within, and respectful of, the constraints of the Constitution.