

No. 05-1657

---

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

*Petitioner,*

v.

WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION,

*Respondent.*

---

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari  
to the Supreme Court of Washington**

---

**BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF PACIFIC LEGAL  
FOUNDATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

---

DEBORAH J. LA FETRA

*Counsel of Record*

TIMOTHY SANDEFUR

Pacific Legal Foundation

3900 Lennane Drive, Suite 200

Sacramento, California 95834

Telephone: (916) 419-7111

Facsimile: (916) 419-7747

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae Pacific Legal Foundation*

---

**QUESTION PRESENTED**

To prevent labor unions from subsidizing their political activities with money taken from nonmember workers, Washington State enacted a law requiring unions to obtain affirmative consent from workers before using this money for political activism. Does this law violate the First Amendment?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED .....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iv
IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	2
ARGUMENT .....	4
I. THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT’S DECISION CONFLICTS WITH SETTLED PRECEDENT THAT THE FIRST AMENDMENT GUARANTEES A DISSENTING WORKER’S RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT .....	4
II. THE DECISION BELOW CONFLICTS WITH DECISIONS OF THE COURTS OF APPEALS OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT AND THE D.C. CIRCUIT .....	7
III. THIS CASE INVOLVES IMPORTANT FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND THE CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY OF STATES .....	9
IV. LOWER COURTS NEED GUIDANCE AS TO THE SCOPE OF HUDSON’S PROTECTIONS FOR DISSENTERS .....	13
V. THE COURT MUST GRANT CERTIORARI TO END THE UNION’S “MASSIVE RESISTANCE” TO DISSENTING WORKERS’ EXERCISE OF THEIR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS .....	14

**TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued**

	<b>Page</b>
CONCLUSION .....	19

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Educ.</i> , 431 U.S. 209 (1977) .....	passim
<i>Andrews v. Educ. Ass'n of Cheshire</i> , 829 F.2d 335 (2d Cir. 1987) .....	13
<i>Bain Peanut Co. of Texas v. Pinson</i> , 282 U.S. 499 (1931) .....	10
<i>Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Wis. Sys.</i> <i>v. Southworth</i> , 529 U.S. 217 (2000) .....	1
<i>Brosterhous v. State Bar of Cal.</i> , 906 P.2d 1242 (Cal. 1995) .....	1
<i>California v. Ramos</i> , 463 U.S. 992 (1983) .....	3
<i>Chicago Teachers Union</i> , <i>Local No. 1, AFT, AFL-CIO</i> <i>v. Hudson</i> , 475 U.S. 292 (1986) .....	passim
<i>City of Charlotte v. Local 660, Int'l Ass'n</i> <i>of Firefighters</i> , 426 U.S. 283 (1976) .....	10, 20
<i>Communications Workers of Am.</i> <i>v. Beck</i> , 487 U.S. 735 (1988) .....	10, 15-16, 19
<i>Crawford v. Air Line Pilots Ass'n Int'l</i> , 870 F.2d 155 (4th Cir. 1989) .....	13
<i>Cumero v. Pub. Employment Relations Bd.</i> , 778 P.2d 174 (Cal. 1989) .....	1
<i>Cummings v. Connell</i> , 316 F.3d 886 (9th Cir. 2003) .....	11, 15
<i>Damiano v. Matish</i> , 830 F.2d 1363 (6th Cir. 1987) .....	14-15

