
In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
WASHINGTON,

Petitioner,

v.

WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The Supreme Court Of Washington**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE AS
AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

—◆—
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
WILLIAM R. MAURER*
MICHAEL BINDAS
811 First Avenue, Suite 625
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: (206) 341-9300
Facsimile: (206) 341-9311

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
WILLIAM H. MELLOR
901 North Glebe Road
Suite 900
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Telephone: (703) 682-9320
Facsimile: (703) 682-9321

**Counsel of Record*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
INTEREST OF THE AMICUS	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	2
REASONS FOR GRANTING REVIEW.....	4
I. THIS COURT SHOULD RESOLVE THE QUESTION OF WHETHER PROVIDING PROTECTIONS TO NONMEMBERS VIOLATES OR EVEN IMPLICATES A UNION'S FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS.....	4
A. Section 760 And Agency Shop Agreements...	5
B. The Washington Supreme Court's Decision Misinterprets This Court's Holdings.....	6
C. Section 760 Does Not Implicate Any Constitutional Rights Possessed By The Union.....	8
II. REVIEW IS NECESSARY BECAUSE THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT'S HOLDING THAT "OPT-OUT" PROVISIONS ARE CONSTITUTIONALLY MANDATED IMPLICATES THE RIGHTS OF NONMEMBERS TO REFUSE TO ANNOUNCE THEIR POLITICAL VIEWS.....	10
III. A CONCLUSION THAT "DISSENT IS NOT TO BE PRESUMED" IS NEITHER CONSTITUTIONALLY NOR LOGICALLY REQUIRED.....	14
IV. THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT REVIEW TO DETERMINE THE CONSTITUTIONAL VIABILITY OF "PAYCHECK PROTECTION" LAWS	15
CONCLUSION.....	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Educ.</i> , 431 U.S. 209 (1977).....	5, 6, 7, 8, 10
<i>Bhd. of Ry. & S.S. Clerks v. Allen</i> , 373 U.S. 113 (1963).....	5, 6
<i>Chicago Teachers Union Local 1 v. Hudson</i> , 475 U.S. 292 (1986)	6
<i>Cochran v. Veneman</i> , 359 F.3d 263 (3d Cir. 2004), <i>vacated and remanded sub nom. Johanns v.</i> <i>Cochran</i> , 544 U.S. 1058 (2005).....	1
<i>Comm'ns Workers of Am. v. Beck</i> , 487 U.S. 735 (1988).....	6
<i>Ellis v. Bhd. of Ry., Airline & S.S. Clerks</i> , 466 U.S. 435 (1984)	6
<i>Gilpin v. Am. Fed'n of State, County, and Mun.</i> <i>Employees, AFL-CIO</i> , 875 F.2d 1310 (7th Cir. 1989)	14
<i>Int'l Ass'n of Machinists v. Street</i> , 367 U.S. 740 (1961).....	5, 6, 9, 10, 14
<i>Johanns v. Livestock Mktg. Ass'n</i> , 544 U.S. 550 (2005).....	4
<i>Lehnert v. Ferris Faculty Ass'n</i> , 500 U.S. 507 (1991) ...	6, 7, 8
<i>May v. McNally</i> , 55 P.3d 768 (Ariz. 2002), <i>cert.</i> <i>den'd</i> , 538 U.S. 923 (2003).....	1
<i>McConnell v. Federal Election Commission</i> , 540 U.S. 93 (2003).....	1
<i>NAACP v. Alabama</i> , 357 U.S. 449 (1958).....	10
<i>Police Dep't of Chicago v. Mosley</i> , 408 U.S. 92 (1972).....	4

