## SCOTUSBlog

## Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer, and Feld LLP

		S				RDING THE	OCTOBE	R 2005 TER	M		
					ONTREOA		COTOBE		(m		
Status of Ca	ases										
Granted but	not argued	0		Argued but decided	not	0		Decided	82		
Decided Co	ooo. Moth	ad of Diam	ocition								
Decided Ca After argume		69	osition	After argun	oont and w	vithout	2		On the	11	
signed opini		09		signed opir		Milloui	2		briefs	11	
	011		4	oigned opi					011010		
Splits in De	cided Case	es									
Unanimous	37		9-0 or 8-0	9		8-1 or 7-1	4		7-2 or 6-2	8	
6-3 or 5-3	13	I	5-4	10		4-4	0		5-2	1	
Treatment	f the Leve										
Treatment of Lower court		59	Lower cou	rt offirmod	20	Lower cour	troverses	l or vacated	3	Other	0
or vacated	levelseu	59	Lower cou	n annneu	20	in part and			3	Other	0
or vabated		ļ				in part and	ammean	inpun			
				0	<b>PINION A</b>	UTHORSHIP	2				
Opinion Au	-	r	-						1		
Roberts	18	Stevens	32	O'Connor	5	Scalia	23	Kennedy	20	1	
Souter	15	Thomas	22	Ginsburg	20	Breyer	25	Alito	10	1	
Opinion Au	thorshin ·	Jaiority O	ninions								
Per Curiam	13	Roberts	8	Stevens	7	O'Connor	3	Scalia	8	Alito	4
Kennedy	7	Souter	7	Thomas	8	Ginsburg	8	Breyer	5	7 4110	
		•	•	•					•	4	
Opinion Au	thorship: F	Plurality or	· Plurality-L	ike Opinior	IS						
Per Curiam	0	Roberts	0	Stevens	0	O'Connor	0	Scalia	1	Alito	0
Kennedy	1	Souter	0	Thomas	0	Ginsburg	0	Breyer	2		
Opinion Au	horobin: (	Concurring	Oniniona								
Per Curiam	0	Roberts	2	Stevens	7	O'Connor	0	Scalia	6	Alito	3
Kennedy	7	Souter	2	Thomas	3	Ginsburg	4	Breyer	5	Aiito	5
	-		<u> </u>			g		,		4	
Opinion Au	thorship: [	Dissenting	Opinions								
Per Curiam	0	Roberts	3	Stevens	14	O'Connor	0	Scalia	6	Alito	2
Kennedy	3	Souter	4	Thomas	8	Ginsburg	5	Breyer	11		
On in in the	(h h. !										
Opinion Au		-			4	O'Connor	2	Caslia		Alito	4
Per Curiam Kennedy	<u>11</u> 2	Roberts Souter	5	Stevens Thomas	4	O'Connor Ginsburg	2	Scalia Breyer	2	Alito	1
Renneuy	2	Soulei	2	THOMAS	5	Girisburg	5	ыеуеі	2	Ţ	
					DISSENTI	NG VOTES					
Dissenting	Votes: Tot	al Number	•								
Roberts	7	Stevens	19	O'Connor	0	Scalia	10	Kennedy	9		
Souter	14	Thomas	15	Ginsburg	14	Breyer	16	Alito	6	]	
			mes the on	D'(-							

Dissenting	Dissenting votes: Number of Times the only Dissenter in a Case										
Roberts	0	Stevens	2	O'Connor	0	Scalia	0	Kennedy	0		
Souter	0	Thomas	2	Ginsburg	0	Breyer	0	Alito	0		

## FIVE-TO-FOUR CASES

Number of cases (either entirely 5-4 or 5-4 on a major issue) 11

Five to Four Cases: Alignments		5-4 Cases:					
Scalia, Kennedy, Thomas, Alito, Roberts	5	LULAC*, Hudson, Ceballos, Marsh, Sanchez-Lllamas					
Stevens, Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer	2	Rapanos**, LULAC*					
Stevens, O'Connor, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer	1	Katz					
Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, Alito, Roberts	1	Day					
Stevens, Scalia, Thomas, Ginsburg, Roberts	1	McVeigh					
Stevens, Scalia, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer	1	Gonzalez-Lopez					
O'Connor, Scalia, Kennedy, Thomas, Roberts	1	Sanders					

Five-to-Four Cases: Authorship of the Opinion										
Roberts	1	Stevens	1	O'Connor	0	Scalia	4	Kennedy	2	
Souter	0	Thomas	1	Ginsburg	2	Breyer	0	Alito	0	

Five-to-Four Cases: Membership in the Majority <sup>†</sup>	
-------------------------------------------------------------	--

Roberts	8	Stevens	4	O'Connor	2	Scalia	8	Kennedy	8
Souter	4	Thomas	7	Ginsburg	5	Breyer	3	Alito	6

\* RE: LULAC There are two 5-4 majorities on two different aspects of the case; this is reflected in the alignment breakdown, but it is only counted once in the "number of cases" tally above.

\*\* RE: Rapanos In this case, we have judged that Kennedy, while nominally forming a 5-4 majority with the conservatives, is better characterized as forming a 5-4 majority with the liberals.

<sup>†</sup> RE: Whole Table Due to the effect of LULAC, we have incremented all of the sitting justices except Kennedy by 1, while Kennedy has been incremented by 2.