No. 05-11284

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 2005

JALIL ABDUL-KABIR, formerly known as Ted Calvin Cole, *Petitioner*,

v.

NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Reply in Support of Motion to Vacate Judgment Below and Remand For Further Consideration

Respondent does not dispute that the *en banc* Fifth Circuit, in its new decision in *Nelson*, has fundamentally altered its approach to *Penry* claims and rejected the premises on which both Petitioner and the petitioner in the companion case, No. 05-11287, were denied relief by Fifth Circuit panels below. Equally important, the change represented by *Nelson* is a rejection of the categorical, threshold type approach represented by the panel opinions in *Cole* and *Brewer*, and an embrace of the guidance provided by this Court in *Penry v. Johnson*, 532 U.S. 782 (2001), *Tennard v. Dretke*, 524 U.S. 274 (2004), and *Smith v. Texas*, 543 U.S. 37 (2004). In such circumstances, there is little

gained by this Court's reviewing two panel opinions that no longer represent the views of the Fifth Circuit.

The primary justification for this Court to grant certiorari in these cases – to address the Fifth Circuit's continuing efforts to impose its "own restrictive gloss on *Penry I*," *Tennard*, 524 U.S. at 283 – has disappeared with the *en banc* Fifth Circuit's blanket repudiation of such approaches. In light of this development, we respectfully suggest that the sensible course at this point is to give the Fifth Circuit an opportunity to apply its new *Penry* jurisprudence to petitioners' cases, which might well make further action by this Court unnecessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Owen Owen & Rountree, L.L.P. P.O. Box 40428 Austin, Texas 78704 512-804-2661 phone 512-804-2685 fax

Member of the Supreme Court Bar Attorney for Jalil Abdul-Kabir