

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

No. 06- 06 - 250 2001 0 2008

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

OPERATORS AND CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.  
AND ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,

*Petitioners,*

v.

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS'  
COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, DANOS & CUROLE  
MARINE CONTRACTORS, INC., THE GRAY  
INSURANCE COMPANY, AND JAMES MORRISON,

*Respondents.*

**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Fifth Circuit**

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI OF  
OPERATORS AND CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.  
AND ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY**

GLENN M. GILES  
*Attorney of Record*  
3900 North Causeway Blvd.  
Suite 1060  
Metairie, LA 70002  
(504) 831-3747

280

**QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW**

1. Whether the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the Benefits Review Board erred by failing to properly apply established precedent of the Fifth Circuit and at least two other Circuit Courts under the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, holding that where an employee experiences an aggravation or exacerbation of a pre-existing injury, however minor, while working for a subsequent maritime employer, and that employee's condition ultimately results in a disability while working for the subsequent maritime employer, the subsequent maritime employer shall be responsible for all benefits owed to the employee, thereby creating a conflict among the Circuit Courts?

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

06-25100818 2006

No.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

EDISON PILGRIM CRAWFORD,

*Petitioner,*

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Respondent.*

---

**On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari  
to the United States Court of  
Appeals for the Fourth Circuit**

---

**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

John Kenneth Zwerling

*Counsel of Record*

Stuart Alexander Sears

ZWERLING, LEIBIG & MOSELEY, P.C.

108 North Alfred Street

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

(703) 684-8000

---

251

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the fact of a prior conviction must be pleaded in the indictment and admitted by the defendant or found beyond a reasonable doubt by a jury when the prior conviction gives rise to a mandatory minimum sentence above the prescribed statutory maximum for the charged offense?
2. Whether this Court should further define "generic burglary" when that term has proved unworkable and leads to inconsistent application of the Armed Career Criminal Act?
3. Whether, based on the facts of Petitioner's case, the application of the Armed Career Criminal Act's fifteen-year mandatory minimum sentence violates the Eighth Amendment's protection against cruel and unusual punishment?

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

06-256 JUN 8 - 2006

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The

**Supreme Court of the United States**

BRENDA SLONE McGEE, individually,  
and BRENDA SLONE McGEE, as executrix  
of the estate of JAMES SLONE,

*Petitioner,*

v.

THOMAS WAYNE MARCUM,

*Respondent.*

**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Sixth Circuit**

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

LEONARD STAYTON  
*Attorney at Law*  
P.O. Box 1386  
Inez, KY 41224  
(606) 298-5117

256

**QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW**

Whether the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit misapplied this Court's decision in *Geiger v. Kawaauhau*, 523 U.S. 57 (1998) in finding that 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(6) does not prevent discharge in bankruptcy of a wrongful death judgment stemming from a willful violation of a federal mine safety statute designed to prevent such death.

Supreme Court U.S.  
FILED

06-258713-1 2006

No. OFFICE OF THE CLERK

---

---

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

CRAIG A. CUNNINGHAM,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,  
*Respondent.*

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United  
States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

---

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

SAMUEL Y. EDGERTON, III  
*Counsel of Record*

JASON C. ROBERTS  
EDGERTON & WEAVER, LLP  
2615 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY  
SUITE 300  
HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254  
(310) 937-2066

*Counsel for Petitioner*

August 21, 2006

258

**QUESTION PRESENTED**

Whether a settlement agreement and release executed by Petitioner with the United States, which was signed by the United States Attorneys' Office as "UNITED STATES (on behalf of itself, its officers, agents, agencies, and departments)," binds the United States Securities and Exchange Commission in a subsequent action for disgorgement.



Supreme Court U.S.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

~~06-250-110-1-2000~~

IN THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK

**Supreme Court of the United States**

\_\_\_\_\_  
DAVID G. HOUSLER, JR.,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

STATE OF TENNESSEE,  
*Respondent.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
Supreme Court of Tennessee**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL E. TERRY \*  
*Attorney for Petitioner*

TERRY & GORE  
1200 16th Avenue South  
Nashville, Tennessee 37212  
(615) 321-2750

\* Counsel of Record

259

### QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. May the State of Tennessee introduce as evidence a Defendant's statement knowing that material components of the statement are false without redacting or correcting the false portions?
2. Whether due process guarantees are violated when the State of Tennessee prosecutes two individuals for the same crime at separate trials using inconsistent theories that are mutually exclusive?